

**ANNEX II:****Template for the Implementation Report of the SPRFMO Conservation and Management Measures**Reporting Member/CNCP: [Australia](#).Reporting period to which this report refers: [1 November 2016 – 31 October 2017](#)Date report prepared: ~~27/10/17~~[27/10/17](#)**CMM 01-2017 *Trachurus murphyi***

Para 1: Do your vessels fish for *T. murphyi* in, or adjacent to, the Convention Area? YES  NO .

If yes, then please complete the following tables and questions:

**Table 1: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Effort Management (para 4)**

Gross Tonnage (GT) Limit	GT of active fishing vessels	No. of vessels actively fishing for CJM	No. of vessels only engaged in transshipment(s)

Enter n/a if not applicable.

**Table 2: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Catch Management (paras 5, 9)**

CJM catch limit	CJM catch limit taking into account any catch transfers	Provisional CJM catch total

**Table 3: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Data collection and reporting (paras 11, 15, 16, 18, 22)**

No. of Monthly reports provided	VMS implemented and data provided?	List of authorised vessels provided?	Annual report provided to SC?	Observer coverage level
	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

Para 8: Do you have additional measures that limit the amount of *T. murphyi* available to your vessels to an amount less than that specified in Table 2 above? YES  NO .

If so, please elaborate

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 20: Did you conduct any research or stock assessments for *T. murphyi* during this past year and were they submitted to the Scientific Committee? YES  NO .

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 21: Did any of your vessels land or tranship *T. murphyi* within your ports and did you take measures to verify those catches? YES  NO .

Para 23: Is any part of your national jurisdiction area adjacent to the Area to which CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*) applies? YES  NO .

If so, have you decided to apply the measures described in CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*) paragraphs 11 -22 within your national jurisdiction areas? YES  NO  Partial .

Also, have you submitted measures in effect for *T. murphyi* within your national jurisdiction areas to the Secretariat? YES  NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

## CMM 02-2017 Standards for the Collection, Reporting, Verification and Exchange of Data

**Table 4: Participation in SPRFMO fisheries during the past year (para's 1e, 2a)**

Fishing method	Activities undertaken?	Main species caught?	Number of vessels	Activities observed? (Yes/No)
Demersal Drop/dahn line				
Demersal Longline	Yes	Morwongs,, yellowtail kingfish, flame snapper, redthroat emperor	2	Yes
Demersal Potting				
Demersal Trawl	Yes	Orange roughy	1	Yes
Pelagic Purse seine				
Pelagic Trawl				
Squid jigging				
Transshipment				

Were you able to meet the data collection requirements described in CMM 02-2017 (Data Standards) paragraphs 1(b) (c) and (d)? (Being information on fishing activity, non-target species impacts and transshipments/landings) YES  NO  Partial .

Australia collected and reported the information in Annex 1 and Annex 3 (for trawl and longline trips) in accordance with this measure. There was no authorised transshipment in the reporting period.

Para 2a): Do you have a national observer programme? YES  NO

If so, have you submitted an annual observer implementation report (para 2d) to the Secretariat? YES  NO .

Australia has submitted the information required under paragraph 2 of CMM 02-2017 as part of its National Report to the SPRFMO SC.

Para 3a): Have you implemented systems to ensure that all of your vessels that fish in the Convention Area have an operational VMS system (that conforms to the requirements in CMM 02-2017 (Data standards) paragraphs 3(b) and 3(c)? YES  NO .

All Australian flagged vessels operating in the SPRFMO Convention Area are required to operate a functional VMS unit. The relevant details of these VMS units are submitted in conformity with Annex 1 of CMM 06-2017

Para 5: Please describe the systems you use to verify your SPRFMO fishery data.

All Australian flagged vessels are required to land their catch to an authorised fish receiver. The landings are verified through catch disposal records where the catch is validated by the fish receiver. Australia reports the verified catch weight by species to SPRFMO each month as is required under CMM 03-2017. Data verification mechanisms are also outlined in our National Report to the SC.

## CMM 03-2017 Bottom fishing in the SPRFMO Convention Area

Para 2: Do you fish in the Convention Area using bottom fishing methods? YES  NO .

If yes, then please complete the following tables and questions:

**Table 5: Management of Bottom Fishing and Fisheries (para's 8a-g, 24f)**

Bottom Fishing assessment submitted?	2002-06 Bottom fishing footprint submitted?	Bottom Fishing restricted to footprint?	2002-2006 Average annual catch (t)	Provisional catch total for last year <sup>1</sup> (t)	Observer coverage level (trawl)	Observer coverage level (other)	No. of VME encounters above the threshold	No. of monthly reports provided
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	541	257 for the period 1 Jan to 20 October	100%	14.4%	0	12

Para 8b): Have you prohibited your vessels from participating in bottom fishing activities in the Convention Area, except when in accordance with this measure? YES  NO .

Para 8f): Have you established threshold levels for VME encounters? YES  NO .  
If so what are they?

If take of coral and sponge exceeds either:

(a) 50 kgs in any one shot for trawl or

(b) 10 kgs in any one shot for line methods for any 1000 hook section of line or 1200 metre section of line, whichever is the shorter.

The boat must cease fishing immediately and not fish using the same method at any point within a five nautical mile radius of that shot for the duration of the permit.

Para 8g): Do you require your vessels to cease fishing operations within 5 nautical miles of any site where threshold levels are exceeded? YES  NO .

Para 8h): Have you divided your footprint into sub-areas for the purposes of preventing significant adverse impacts to VMEs? YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.

All areas of Australia's bottom fishing footprint are open to bottom fishing by Australian flagged vessels. The move on rules explained in para 8f are implemented across the Australian bottom fishing footprint to prevent significant adverse impacts to VMEs.

Para 9: Do you have additional measures that apply to your bottom fishing vessels in the Convention Area? YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.

Australia imposes the following conditions on high seas permit holders: (a) fish must be disposed of to a fish receiver permit holder; (b) the removal of fins and livers from sharks at sea is banned; (c) operators must avoid interactions with protected organisms and, if an interaction occurs, it must be reported to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority;

Para 23: Have you identified any sites within the Convention Area where VMEs are likely to occur? YES  NO .

If so have you provided this information to the Secretariat? YES  NO .

This is part of Australia's ongoing work in the scientific committee. Refer Australia/New Zealand's paper on these issues.

Have you taken steps to ensure that your bottom fishing vessels are able to comply with all of the requirements detailed in CMM 03-2017 (Bottom Fishing) paragraph 24? YES  NO .

Australia requires that all Australian-flagged vessels operating in the SPRFMO Convention Area are equipped and configured to comply with all relevant SPRFMO CMMs, including observer coverage

<sup>1</sup> The catch total for "last year" refers to the year to date (i.e. the 2016/17 report completed in November 2017 will refer to the total annual catch for 2017 as at the time the report was completed).

requirements, minimum data requirements and VMS requirements. Australia has also submitted monthly catch reports within 20 days of the end of each month as is required by paragraph 24 (f)

*Para 26: Are you aware of any non-Member (or non-CNCP) that has recently bottom fished in the Convention Area? YES  NO .*

*And if so, did you communicate a request to them to cooperate and to consider participating in the work of SPRFMO as a matter of priority? YES  NO .*

N/A.

## **CMM 04-2017 Vessels presumed to have carried out IUU fishing activities in the SPRFMO Area**

*Para 2: Did you transmit a list of presumed IUU fishing vessels to the Secretariat? YES  NO*

*Para 3: If so, did you inform the relevant flag state before, or at the same time as, transmitting the list? YES  NO*

N/A.

*Para 4: Were any of your flagged vessels on the draft IUU list? YES  NO*

*Para 6: If so, did you notify vessel owners and inform them about the potential consequences? YES  NO*

N/A.

*Para 13: Were any of your flagged vessels on the final IUU list? YES  NO*

*If so, did you notify the vessel owners and inform them about the consequences of inclusion? YES  NO*

*Para 13: What measures were taken to eliminate these IUU activities?*

N/A as Australia had no vessels on the 2017 IUU vessel list.

*Did you enforce any of the measures described under CMM 04-2017 (IUU List) paragraph 14?*

YES  NO .

*If so, please elaborate.*

N/A as Australia did not have any vessels on the 2016 IUU list

## CMM 05-2016 Commission record of Vessels authorised to fish in the Convention Area

*Para 2: Describe how you take into account the vessel and operator compliance history when considering whether or not to authorise a particular fishing vessel.*

To fish in the SPRFMO Convention Area, Australian flagged vessels must hold a High Seas Permit. For Australia to issue a High Seas Permit, the vessel must be Australian-flagged. Compliance checks are conducted as part of the process of deeming vessels as Australia. The history of the operator and the vessel, including any IUU listing, is taken into account when deciding whether or not to authorise a High Seas Permit for a particular fishing vessel.

*Para 3: Describe the measures you have taken to ensure that you are able to take effective enforcement actions against the owners of vessels flying your flag should the need arise.*

For Australian vessels operating in the SPRFMO Convention Area Australia imposes conditions through High Seas Permits that are consistent with SPRFMO CMMs. Australia enforces these requirements through a suite of MCS measures including observer coverage, inspections, adequate penalties through domestic legislation, warnings, fines and education and outreach.

*Para 4: Do you maintain your own register of fishing vessels for the SPRFMO Area?*

YES  NO

*Para 5: Does it contain all the necessary information (as described in Annex 1 of CMM 05-2016 (Record of Vessels))?*

YES  NO

*Are there any reasons that this vessel information has not been collected?*

[Click here to enter text.](#)

**Table 6: Vessel data submission summary (para's 6, 8, 9, 11)**

<i>Current number of vessels authorised to fish in the SPRFMO Area?</i>	<i>No. of new authorisations within reporting period</i>	<i>No. of revoked authorisations within reporting period</i>	<i>Authorisation details submitted to Secretariat?</i>	<i>No. of Active fishing vessels</i>	<i>No. of vessels only engaged in Transhipments(s)</i>
6	0	0	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	3	0

## **CMM 06-2017 VMS in the SPRFMO Convention Area**

*Para 4: Did any of your vessels fish in the SPRFMO Area during the reporting period? YES  NO*   
*If so, please complete the following questions:*

*Para 9: What means of automatically reporting VMS data have you chosen for your flagged vessels?*

- a) To the Secretariat via your FMC; or*
- b) Simultaneously to both the Secretariat and your FMC*

*Para 13: Do all of your flagged vessels that are required to report to the Commission VMS use a functioning ALC that complies with the Commission's Minimum Standards as specified in Annex 1, CMM 06-2017? YES  NO*

*Annex 2, para 1: Did you receive any VMS data from the Commission VMS during the assessed period? YES  NO*

*If so, did you manage the VMS data in accordance with all the Security and Confidentiality requirements specified in Annex 2, paragraphs 2 and 3 of CMM 06-2017?*

*YES  NO . If not, please elaborate.*

*N/A.*

*Para 17: Did any of your vessels experience a failure of automatic VMS reporting during the assessed period? YES  NO .*

*If so, was the Manual reporting procedure specified in Annex 3, of CMM 06-2017 applied?*

*YES  NO .*

*Have any of your vessels failed to re-establish automatic reporting within 60 days after the commencement of manual reporting? YES  NO . And if so, were they ordered to cease fishing and return immediately to port? YES  NO*

*Please provide the names of any vessels that failed to re-establish automatic reporting within 60 days*

*N/A.*

*Para 19: Did you or your vessels encounter any other difficulties reporting to the Commission VMS during the reporting period? YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.*

*[Click here to enter text.](#)*

## CMM 07-2017 Minimum Standards of Inspection in Port

Para 1: Are your ports expected to receive SPRFMO-managed species? YES  NO

If so, please complete the following table and questions:

**Table 7: Implementation of Port Standards (para's 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 22)**

Point of Contact transmitted to Secretariat?	Designated port list provided to Secretariat?	No. of foreign fishing vessels <sup>1</sup> seeking to use port services	No. of foreign fishing vessels denied entry	No. of inspections	Percentage of foreign landings /transhipments inspected
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0

Para 8: Do you have sufficient capacity to conduct inspections in every designated port?

YES  NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 11: Do you require foreign fishing vessels to provide information prior to any landing or port transhipment? YES  NO

If so, does that information comply with paragraph 11 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)?

YES  NO

<http://www.afma.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Port-Access-Guidelines-July-2013.pdf>

Para 12: Is the notification period for a foreign fishing vessel's port request different than 48 hours?

YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.

Australia has not prescribed a minimum timeframe for requiring an application for a port permit.

Paragraph 12 of CMM 2.07 allows members to prescribe a longer or shorter notification period than 48 hours. AFMA's client service charter advises that processing licencing applications may take up to seven days, hence, we advise submitting applications for port permits at least 8 days in advance. Australia completes compliance checks based on the information in the port permit application, and will not issue a port permit if there are compliance concerns.

Para 16a): Did you receive any requests from other Members, CNCs or relevant RFMOs to inspect particular vessels? YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 35: Did you encounter any difficulties with the inspection procedures described in paragraphs 18-22 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)? YES  NO . If so, please elaborate.

N/A. Australia has not conducted any inspections of FFVs pursuant to this CMM so has not had cause to apply these procedures.

Para 24: Did any of your inspections discover evidence that a foreign fishing vessel has committed a breach of the SPRFMO CMMs? YES  NO . If so, did you encounter any difficulties with the infringements procedures described in paragraphs 24-27 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)?

YES  NO

If N/A

Para 28: Have you provided (or received) any assistance as described under Paragraph 28 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)? (Being the development of capacity, facilitation of participation and assessment of requirements for developing Members and CNCs) YES  NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

<sup>1</sup> Limited to foreign fishing vessels carrying SPRFMO species caught within the SPRFMO Area



## **CMM 08-2013 Gillnets in the SPRFMO Convention Area**

1. Members shall require that vessels flying their flag prohibit the use of large-scale pelagic driftnets and all deepwater gillnets in the Convention Area.

*Para 1: Please describe how this requirement has been implemented*

Consistent with this CMM, the use of all large-scale (greater than 2.5 kilometres in length) pelagic driftnets is prohibited under Section 13 of the Fisheries Management Act 1991. This applies to all Australian flagged vessels operating in Commonwealth-managed fisheries within Australia's EEZ and the SPRFMO Convention Area. Australia prohibits the use of deepwater nets on all Australian flagged vessels operating in the Convention Area. No Australian flagged vessels have fished with this gear type in the Convention Area since 1999. Gear permitted under Australia's high seas permits includes demersal longline, midwater trawl, demersal trawl, dropline and traps.

*Para 2: Have any of your vessels transited the Area while carrying gillnets? YES  NO*

*Para 2a): If so, was 36 hours advance notice given? YES  NO ,*

*Para 2b): Did the vessels operate a VMS? YES  NO  Partial ,*

*Para 2c): Were VMS reports submitted? YES  NO  Partial*

*Para 2d): Were any Gillnets lost overboard? YES  NO*

*Additional information can be provided here:*

[Click here to enter text.](#)

## CMM 09-2017 Minimising bycatch of seabirds in the SPRFMO Convention Area

Paras 1 & 2: Are your vessels required to implement appropriate seabird mitigation measures while fishing in the Convention Area? YES  NO .

Annex 1, para 1b)ii.b: Are any of your **demersal longline vessels** only required to apply one of the three specified mitigation measures (i.e. line weighting, bird scaring, setting at night)?

YES  NO . And if so, please list those vessels:

Australia prohibits the discharge of biological material during shooting and hauling and requires all vessels to use bird scaring lines. As Australia has maintained more than 10% observer coverage for at least the last five years with a seabird mortality rate of less than 0.001 birds /1000 hooks, only one mitigation measure is required.

Annex 1, para 1b)iii: During the recent reporting period have you maintained a minimum of 10% observer coverage over your demersal longline fleet? YES  NO .

Annex 1, para 2: Have any of your vessels exceeded a mortality rate of 0.01 birds/1000 hooks? YES  NO .

Annex 1, para 2a): If so, please list those vessels and state if, after the mortality, whether these vessels applied at least one additional measure?

N/A

Annex 2, para 2: Are any of your **trawl vessels** exempt from applying the specified mitigation measures (bird scaring lines, responsible discharge management, net cleaning, surface time minimisation)? YES  NO .

During the recent reporting period did any mortality events occur? YES  NO .

If so, please list the vessels concerned and stipulate if, after the mortality, whether these vessels applied appropriate mitigation measures?

We note that, consistent with para 2 of Annex 2, the requirement to apply additional mitigation measures applies to all of our flagged trawl vessels. Hence, 'any' is not reflective of the obligation. Australian High Seas Permit conditions were revised in 2016 to also require the use of bird bafflers, consistent with paragraph 1 of Annex 2.

Para 3: Do you have any **trawlers** which are exempt from applying seabird mitigation measures because they discharge no biological material? YES  NO .

And if so, please list those vessels and stipulate when these vessel exemptions were last reviewed?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 7: Do you require your observers to record seabird interactions? YES  NO .

Para 8: If so, are the records in accordance with CMM 02-2017 (Data Standards) and is the resulting data reported to the Secretariat? YES  NO .

There were no interactions with seabirds in the reporting period.

**Table 8: Seabird mitigation measures in Demersal Longline (para 9 & annex 1 para 1)**

Biological discharge during shooting/hauling prohibited?	List of Seabird mitigation measures used in SPRFMO Area?	Observer coverage level <sup>1</sup> ?	No. of Seabird interactions	Approx. Seabird mortality rate (per 1000 hooks observed)
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> .	As above.	14.4	0	0

**Table 9: Seabird mitigation measures in Trawl Fisheries (para's 3,9 & annex 2 para 1, 2)**

No. of vessels that do not discharge Biological material?	No. of vessels discharging Biological material?	List of seabird mitigation measures used in SPRFMO Area	Observer coverage level <sup>2</sup>	No. of seabird interactions	Approx. seabird mortality rate (per tow observed)
---	---	---	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---

<sup>1</sup> Provided as a percentage of number of hooks, for the current calendar year

<sup>2</sup> Provided as a percentage of number of tows for the current calendar year

0	1 <sup>*1</sup>	Bird Bafflers	100	0	0
---	-----------------	---------------	-----	---	---

---

<sup>1</sup> It is a condition that operators do not discharge any biological material while fishing gear is in the water, where practical, to avoid attracting seabirds to the boat

## CMM 11-2015 Boarding & Inspection Procedures

For reference a link to “Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks” ([1995 Agreement](#))

Have you informed SPRFMO about your designated authority to receive notifications pursuant to Article 21.4 of the 1995 Agreement? YES  NO .

Which measures have you taken to ensure that vessel masters comply with the stipulations under Article 22.3 of the 1995 Agreement?

Australia has advised Australian operators fishing in the SPRFMO area of their obligations under CMM 11-2015, making particular mention of their obligation to comply with Article 22.3 of UNFSA. These obligations will also be outlined in the High Seas Management Arrangement Booklet for the 2017 season. Further, under Australian law (the Maritime Powers Act 2013 (Cth)) it is an offence for a person in charge of a vessel to fail to take reasonable steps to facilitate a boarding of the vessel, or to fail to cooperate with the inspection of the vessel.

Were any of the vessels flying your flag inspected by a SPRFMO Member? YES  NO .

If yes:

- Were there any cases in which there were clear grounds for believing that a vessel has engaged in any activity contrary to the CMMs of the SPRFMO? YES  NO .

If yes:

- How were the procedures described under Article 21.6 and 21.12 addressed? Did you encounter any problems?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Article 21.3: Have you conducted any at-sea inspections during the recent reporting period?

YES  NO . If yes:

- Article 21.4: Have you informed the SPRFMO Secretariat of the form of identification issued to your duly authorised inspectors? YES  NO
- Article 21.4: Are your vessels used for boarding and inspection clearly marked and identifiable as being on government service? YES  NO
- How do you ensure that your inspectors observe all provisions under Articles 21.10 and 22.1 of the 1995 Agreement?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- How many at-sea inspections did you conduct?
- Article 21.5: Following a boarding and inspection, have you had clear grounds for believing that a vessel has engaged in any activity contrary to the CMMs of the SPRFMO? YES  NO .

If yes:

- Please list all cases.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- Article 21.8: In any of these cases, did you have clear grounds for believing that a vessel has committed a serious violation in accordance with Article 21.11 of the 1995 Agreement? If so, please provide details.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- Article 21.9: Did you secure evidence and promptly notify the flag State of the alleged violation and the results of any further investigation? YES  NO
- Did you encounter any problems?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

## CMM 12-2017 Transhipment and Other Transfer Activities

Have any of your vessels conducted transhipments or other transfer activities during the reporting period? **YES**  **NO** . If so, please complete the following questions and tables.

Para's 2 & 3: Were all transhipments and/or other transfer activities conducted between authorised vessels (i.e. on the Commission Record of Vessels at the time of the activity)? **YES**  **NO** .

**Table 10: Transhipments of *T. murphyi* caught in the Convention Area (para 4, 5, 8)**

Number of transhipments in which your vessels unloaded <i>T. murphyi</i> catch	Number of transhipments in which your vessels received <i>T. murphyi</i> catch	How many of the <i>T. murphyi</i> transhipments were observed?

Please list the vessels that conducted transhipments of *T. murphyi* during the reporting period:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

**Table 11: Transhipments of demersal species<sup>1</sup> caught in the Convention Area (para 4, 5, 8)**

Number of transhipments in which your vessels unloaded demersal catch	Number of transhipments in which your vessels received demersal catch	How many of the demersal transhipments were observed?

Please list the vessels that conducted transhipments of demersal species during the reporting period:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

---

<sup>1</sup> Demersal species generally live on or near the ocean floor.

## **CMM 13-2016 New & Exploratory Fisheries**

*Para 4: Are any of your vessels currently permitted to fish in SPRFMO exploratory fisheries?*

*YES  NO .*

*Para 5: Are you seeking to permit a vessel that flies your flag to fish in an exploratory fishery?*

*YES  NO .*

*Para 5a): If so, have you submitted an application to the Commission in respect of these activities?*

*YES  NO .*

*Para 5b): And if so, have you submitted a Fisheries Operation Plan to the Scientific Committee in respect of these activities? YES  NO .*

## **CMM 14-2016 Exploratory Toothfish Fishing (New Zealand only)**

*Para 3: Did your vessels conduct exploratory fishing for toothfish during the reporting period? YES  NO . (If “no” then please skip the remaining questions).*

*Para 5: Did all of the fishing use the bottom longline method (YES  NO ) and was it all conducted within the boxes specified in table 1 of that measure? YES  NO .*

*Para 8: What was the total tonnage of toothfish caught during the period?*

*Para 13 & 14: Which vessel(s) were authorised and undertook exploratory fishing for toothfish? [Click here to enter text.](#)*

*Para 15: Was all of the exploratory fishing for toothfish in accordance with SC-03-DW-01\_rev2 “Proposal for exploratory bottom longlining for toothfish by New Zealand vessels outside the bottom lining footprint during 2016 and 2017: Description of proposed activities and impact assessment” YES  NO .*

*Para 16b): How many toothfish were tagged during the exploratory fishing ( ) and what was the tagging overlap statistic?*

*Para 20: Were all of the mitigation methods specified in paragraph 20 implemented during the exploratory fishing? YES  NO*

*Para 21: Was the information described in paragraph 21 relating to marine mammals, seabirds, turtles, and other species of concern collected? YES  NO*

*Para 23: Was there a New Zealand government observer (and a dedicated assistant) on board the vessel during the exploratory fishing? YES  NO*

*Para 24: Was there a video monitoring and recording system installed and was the footage provided to the New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries? YES  NO*

*Any additional comments may be provided below:*

[Click here to enter text.](#)

## **CMM 15-2016 Vessels without Nationality**

*Para 3: During the reporting period did you take any actions, in accordance with international law, against vessels without nationality (e.g. by prohibiting landing, transshipment or access to port services)*  
*YES  NO .*

*If so, please provide additional details:*

Australia has not sighted any stateless vessels in the SPRFMO Convention Area and therefore has not taken any specific action against vessels without nationality by the means suggested in paragraph 3 of CMM 15-2016. However, Australia is currently seeking to strengthen its domestic legislation to ensure we can continue to take appropriate action against vessels without nationality