

ANNEX II:**Template for the Implementation Report of the SPRFMO Conservation and Management Measures**Reporting Member/CNCP: [Chile](#)Reporting period to which this report refers: [November 2016/October 2017](#)Date report prepared: [26/10/17](#)**CMM 01-2017 *Trachurus murphyi***

Para 1: Do your vessels fish for T. murphyi in, or adjacent to, the Convention Area? YES NO .
If yes, then please complete the following tables and questions:

Table 1: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Effort Management (para 4)

Gross Tonnage (GT) Limit	GT of active fishing vessels	No. of vessels actively fishing for CJM	No. of vessels only engaged in transshipment(s)
96,867.24 GT + 3,755.81 GRT	3,799	3	0

Enter n/a if not applicable.

Table 2: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Catch Management (paras 5, 9)

CJM catch limit	CJM catch limit taking into account any catch transfers	Provisional CJM catch total
317300	351,521	330,335

Table 3: CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*): Data collection and reporting (paras 11, 15, 16, 18, 22)

No. of Monthly reports provided	VMS implemented and data provided?	List of authorised vessels provided?	Annual report provided to SC?	Observer coverage level
12	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	60%

Para 8: Do you have additional measures that limit the amount of T. murphyi available to your vessels to an amount less than that specified in Table 2 above? YES NO .

If so, please elaborate

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 20: Did you conduct any research or stock assessments for T. murphyi during this past year and were they submitted to the Scientific Committee? YES NO .

[Research for Jack mackerel developed during the period has been provided to the 2017 Scientific Committee in the stipulated timelines.](#)

Para 21: Did any of your vessels land or tranship T. murphyi within your ports and did you take measures to verify those catches? YES NO .

*Para 23: Is any part of your national jurisdiction area adjacent to the Area to which CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*) applies? YES NO .*

*If so, have you decided to apply the measures described in CMM 01-2017 (*T. murphyi*) paragraphs 11 -22 within your national jurisdiction areas? YES NO Partial .*

Also, have you submitted measures in effect for T. murphyi within your national jurisdiction areas to the Secretariat? YES NO

Conservation and management measures in effect for *Trachurus murphyi* in the Chilean EEZ include: -
 Minimum Size: In force since 1980, through Decree (Minecon) No. 458 as of 1981 and Decree (Minecon)

No. 34 as of 1983. - Suspended Access to the fishery: In force since 1991, through General Law of Fisheries and Aquaculture No. 18.892 and No. 20.657. - Catch Global Quota: Adopted annually since 1999. The 2017 quota for jack mackerel proposed by the Undersecretariat in December 2016 was 320450 tons. Subsequently and according to agreements reached in February 2017 during the Fifth Meeting of the SPRFMO in Adelaide, Australia, the annual quota for jack mackerel(including high seas and jurisdictional waters) was reduced to 317300 tons(Exempted Decree N°213-2017), which is close to be fully consumed. - Maximum Catch Limits per Shipowner: In force since 2001, through General Law of Fisheries and Aquaculture No. 19.713. - Individual Transferable Quota (ITQ): In force since 2013, through General Law of Fisheries and Aquaculture No. 20.657. - Exempted Resolution N°807 of 2017 approved CMM 01-2017 and Exempted Resolution N°1544 of 2017 approved all the other CMMs adopted by the Commission in its 5th Meeting of 2017.

CMM 02-2017 Standards for the Collection, Reporting, Verification and Exchange of Data

Table 4: Participation in SPRFMO fisheries during the past year (para's 1e, 2a)

Fishing method	Activities undertaken?	Main species caught?	Number of vessels	Activities observed? (Yes/No)
Demersal Drop/dahn line	No			
Demersal Longline	No			
Demersal Potting	No			
Demersal Trawl	No			
Pelagic Purse seine	Yes	CJM	3	Yes
Pelagic Trawl	No			
Squid jigging	No			
Transshipment	No			

Were you able to meet the data collection requirements described in CMM 02-2017 (Data Standards) paragraphs 1(b) (c) and (d)? (Being information on fishing activity, non-target species impacts and transshipments/landings) YES NO Partial .

All information and data was collected, verified and reported according to the provisions of CMM 02-2017.

Para 2a): Do you have a national observer programme? YES NO

If so, have you submitted an annual observer implementation report (para 2d) to the Secretariat? YES NO .

National Observer Programme has been described in the Chile Annual report Part I: Jack mackerel (SC5-Doc21) submitted to the 5th Scientific Committee.

Para 3a): Have you implemented systems to ensure that all of your vessels that fish in the Convention Area have an operational VMS system (that conforms to the requirements in CMM 02-2017 (Data standards) paragraphs 3(b) and 3(c)? YES NO .

All Chilean vessels larger than 15 m (in some cases vessels larger than 12 m), whereas the fisheries operations takes place, must be equipped with a vessel monitoring system, as it is provided by The Fisheries and Aquaculture law, N°18.892 and its modifications, and implemented by Decree No. 198 of 1998 and its modifications.

Para 5: Please describe the systems you use to verify your SPRFMO fishery data.

Fishery data is verified through VMS, electronic logbook, national observer program (including on board and at port sampling) and certification of landings. Transshipments have not been undertaken by Chilean fishing vessels.

CMM 03-2017 Bottom fishing in the SPRFMO Convention Area

Para 2: Do you fish in the Convention Area using bottom fishing methods? YES NO .

If yes, then please complete the following tables and questions:

Table 5: Management of Bottom Fishing and Fisheries (para's 8a-g, 24f)

Bottom Fishing assessment submitted?	2002-06 Bottom fishing footprint submitted?	Bottom Fishing restricted to footprint?	2002-2006 Average annual catch (t)	Provisional catch total for last year ¹ (t)	Observer coverage level (trawl)	Observer coverage level (other)	No. of VME encounters above the threshold	No. of monthly reports provided
YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>						

Para 8b): Have you prohibited your vessels from participating in bottom fishing activities in the Convention Area, except when in accordance with this measure? YES NO .

Para 8f): Have you established threshold levels for VME encounters? YES NO .

If so what are they?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 8g): Do you require your vessels to cease fishing operations within 5 nautical miles of any site where threshold levels are exceeded? YES NO .

Para 8h): Have you divided your footprint into sub-areas for the purposes of preventing significant adverse impacts to VMEs? YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 9: Do you have additional measures that apply to your bottom fishing vessels in the Convention Area? YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 23: Have you identified any sites within the Convention Area where VMEs are likely to occur? YES NO .

If so have you provided this information to the Secretariat? YES NO .

Have you taken steps to ensure that your bottom fishing vessels are able to comply with all of the requirements detailed in CMM 03-2017 (Bottom Fishing) paragraph 24? YES NO .

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 26: Are you aware of any non-Member (or non-CNCP) that has recently bottom fished in the Convention Area? YES NO .

And if so, did you communicate a request to them to cooperate and to consider participating in the work of SPRFMO as a matter of priority? YES NO .

[Click here to enter text.](#)

¹ The catch total for "last year" refers to the year to date (i.e. the 2016/17 report completed in November 2017 will refer to the total annual catch for 2017 as at the time the report was completed).

CMM 04-2017 Vessels presumed to have carried out IUU fishing activities in the SPRFMO Area

Para 2: Did you transmit a list of presumed IUU fishing vessels to the Secretariat? YES NO

Para 3: If so, did you inform the relevant flag state before, or at the same time as, transmitting the list? YES NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 4: Were any of your flagged vessels on the draft IUU list? YES NO

Para 6: If so, did you notify vessel owners and inform them about the potential consequences? YES NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 13: Were any of your flagged vessels on the final IUU list? YES NO

If so, did you notify the vessel owners and inform them about the consequences of inclusion? YES NO

Para 13: What measures were taken to eliminate these IUU activities?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Did you enforce any of the measures described under CMM 04-2017 (IUU List) paragraph 14?

YES NO .

If so, please elaborate.

No Chilean fishing vessels were included on the IUU list neither in the draft IUU list. No foreign fishing vessels included in the SPRFMO IUU list have requested to fly the Chilean flag or requested access to domestic ports. Any landing in domestic ports shall be certified, and any information of this kind of activities may be communicated to the SPRFMO through the Executive Secretary.

CMM 05-2016 Commission record of Vessels authorised to fish in the Convention Area

Para 2: Describe how you take into account the vessel and operator compliance history when considering whether or not to authorise a particular fishing vessel.

Any authorisation to fish in the high seas, including the Convention Area, shall comply with the requirements of the Decree 360 (Minecon) as of 2005, which is consistent with the 1993FAO Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas. Before its authorisation, every application is analyzed individually, including history and compliance of the vessel and shipowner.

Para 3: Describe the measures you have taken to ensure that you are able to take effective enforcement actions against the owners of vessels flying your flag should the need arise.

Chile has developed regulations in order to assure the proper compliance of existing obligations by its shipowners and vessels (including those existing under international treaties signed by Chile) with the aim of sustainable use of resources including:- Mandatory use of VMS by vessels of the industrial fishing fleet (whole) and artisanal (larger than 15 m and in some cases larger than 12 m);- Mandatory certification of landings of vessels with length larger than 12 m;- Mandatory use of the weighing system certified by the National Fishing and Aquaculture Service;- Requirement of legal origin certificate for landing, processing, elaboration, trade and export of resources and their derived products.

Para 4: Do you maintain your own register of fishing vessels for the SPRFMO Area?

YES NO

Para 5: Does it contain all the necessary information (as described in Annex 1 of CMM 05-2016 (Record of Vessels))?

YES NO

Are there any reasons that this vessel information has not been collected?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Table 6: Vessel data submission summary (para's 6, 8, 9, 11)

<i>Current number of vessels authorised to fish in the SPRFMO Area?</i>	<i>No. of new authorisations within reporting period</i>	<i>No. of revoked authorisations within reporting period</i>	<i>Authorisation details submitted to Secretariat?</i>	<i>No. of Active fishing vessels</i>	<i>No. of vessels only engaged in Transhipments(s)</i>
154	1	0	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	3	0

CMM 06-2017 VMS in the SPRFMO Convention Area

Para 4: Did any of your vessels fish in the SPRFMO Area during the reporting period? YES NO
If so, please complete the following questions:

Para 9: What means of automatically reporting VMS data have you chosen for your flagged vessels?

- a) To the Secretariat via your FMC; or*
- b) Simultaneously to both the Secretariat and your FMC*

Para 13: Do all of your flagged vessels that are required to report to the Commission VMS use a functioning ALC that complies with the Commission's Minimum Standards as specified in Annex 1, CMM 06-2017? YES NO

Annex 2, para 1: Did you receive any VMS data from the Commission VMS during the assessed period? YES NO

If so, did you manage the VMS data in accordance with all the Security and Confidentiality requirements specified in Annex 2, paragraphs 2 and 3 of CMM 06-2017?

YES NO . If not, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 17: Did any of your vessels experience a failure of automatic VMS reporting during the assessed period? YES NO .

If so, was the Manual reporting procedure specified in Annex 3, of CMM 06-2017 applied?

YES NO .

Have any of your vessels failed to re-establish automatic reporting within 60 days after the commencement of manual reporting? YES NO . And if so, were they ordered to cease fishing and return immediately to port? YES NO

Please provide the names of any vessels that failed to re-establish automatic reporting within 60 days

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 19: Did you or your vessels encounter any other difficulties reporting to the Commission VMS during the reporting period? YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

CMM 07-2017 Minimum Standards of Inspection in Port

Para 1: Are your ports expected to receive SPRFMO-managed species? YES NO

If so, please complete the following table and questions:

Table 7: Implementation of Port Standards (para's 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 22)

Point of Contact transmitted to Secretariat?	Designated port list provided to Secretariat?	No. of foreign fishing vessels ¹ seeking to use port services	No. of foreign fishing vessels denied entry	No. of inspections	Percentage of foreign landings /transhipments inspected
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	13	1	8	62%

Para 8: Do you have sufficient capacity to conduct inspections in every designated port?

YES NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 11: Do you require foreign fishing vessels to provide information prior to any landing or port transhipment? YES NO

If so, does that information comply with paragraph 11 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)?

YES NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 12: Is the notification period for a foreign fishing vessel's port request different than 48 hours?

YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 16a): Did you receive any requests from other Members, CNCs or relevant RFMOs to inspect particular vessels? YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 35: Did you encounter any difficulties with the inspection procedures described in paragraphs 18-22 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)? YES NO . If so, please elaborate.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 24: Did any of your inspections discover evidence that a foreign fishing vessel has committed a breach of the SPRFMO CMMs? YES NO . If so, did you encounter any difficulties with the infringements procedures described in paragraphs 24-27 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)?

YES NO

If difficulties were encountered, then please describe the reasons relating to those difficulties:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 28: Have you provided (or received) any assistance as described under Paragraph 28 of CMM 07-2017 (Port Inspection)? (Being the development of capacity, facilitation of participation and assessment of requirements for developing Members and CNCs) YES NO

[Click here to enter text.](#)

¹ Limited to foreign fishing vessels carrying SPRFMO species caught within the SPRFMO Area

CMM 08-2013 Gillnets in the SPRFMO Convention Area

1. Members shall require that vessels flying their flag prohibit the use of large-scale pelagic driftnets and all deepwater gillnets in the Convention Area.

Para 1: Please describe how this requirement has been implemented

[Our fleet does not use those fishing gears within the Convention Area.](#)

Para 2: Have any of your vessels transited the Area while carrying gillnets? YES NO

Para 2a): If so, was 36 hours advance notice given? YES NO ,

Para 2b): Did the vessels operate a VMS? YES NO Partial ,

Para 2c): Were VMS reports submitted? YES NO Partial

Para 2d): Were any Gillnets lost overboard? YES NO

Additional information can be provided here:

[No Chilean vessels had transited in the Convention Area carrying gillnets or driftnets.](#)

CMM 09-2017 Minimising bycatch of seabirds in the SPRFMO Convention Area

Paras 1 & 2: Are your vessels required to implement appropriate seabird mitigation measures while fishing in the Convention Area? YES NO .

Annex 1, para 1b)ii.b: Are any of your **demersal longline vessels** only required to apply one of the three specified mitigation measures (i.e. line weighting, bird scaring, setting at night)?

YES NO . And if so, please list those vessels:

No Chilean demersal longline vessel has been operating in the Convention Area.

Annex 1, para 1b)ii: During the recent reporting period have you maintained a minimum of 10% observer coverage over your demersal longline fleet? YES NO .

Annex 1, para 2: Have any of your vessels exceeded a mortality rate of 0.01 birds/1000 hooks?

YES NO .

Annex 1, para 2a): If so, please list those vessels and state if, after the mortality, whether these vessels applied at least one additional measure?

No Chilean demersal longline vessel has been operating in the Convention Area.

Annex 2, para 2: Are any of your **trawl vessels** exempt from applying the specified mitigation measures (bird scaring lines, responsible discharge management, net cleaning, surface time minimisation)? YES NO .

During the recent reporting period did any mortality events occur? YES NO .

If so, please list the vessels concerned and stipulate if, after the mortality, whether these vessels applied appropriate mitigation measures?

No Chilean trawl vessel has been operating in the Convention Area.

Para 3: Do you have any **trawlers** which are exempt from applying seabird mitigation measures because they discharge no biological material? YES NO .

And if so, please list those vessels and stipulate when these vessel exemptions were last reviewed?

No Chilean trawl vessel has been operating in the Convention Area.

Para 7: Do you require your observers to record seabird interactions? YES NO .

Para 8: If so, are the records in accordance with CMM 02-2017 (Data Standards) and is the resulting data reported to the Secretariat? YES NO .

Chilean observers do record the data according to CMM 02-2017. However, Chilean vessels operating over SPRFMO fisheries in the Convention Area have not undertaken demersal longline or trawl fisheries during the reported period. Given this, information in tables 8 and 9 is not provided.

Table 8: Seabird mitigation measures in Demersal Longline (para 9 & annex 1 para 1)

Biological discharge during shooting/hauling prohibited?	List of Seabird mitigation measures used in SPRFMO Area?	Observer coverage level ¹ ?	No. of Seabird interactions	Approx. Seabird mortality rate (per 1000 hooks observed)
YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> .				

Table 9: Seabird mitigation measures in Trawl Fisheries (para's 3,9 & annex 2 para 1, 2)

No. of vessels that do not discharge Biological material?	No. of vessels discharging Biological material?	List of seabird mitigation measures used in SPRFMO Area	Observer coverage level ²	No. of seabird interactions	Approx. seabird mortality rate (per tow observed)

¹ Provided as a percentage of number of hooks, for the current calendar year

² Provided as a percentage of number of tows for the current calendar year

CMM 11-2015 Boarding & Inspection Procedures

For reference a link to “Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks” ([1995 Agreement](#))

Have you informed SPRFMO about your designated authority to receive notifications pursuant to Article 21.4 of the 1995 Agreement? YES NO .

Which measures have you taken to ensure that vessel masters comply with the stipulations under Article 22.3 of the 1995 Agreement?

Chile adopted SPRFMO’s CMMs through a Resolution of the Undersecretariat for Fisheries and Aquaculture (Res. Ex. N°807-2017 and 1544-2017), including CMM 11-2015. The publication of this resolution in the Official Journal implies the legal notification to all those involved in the activity. In the case of non-compliance, Title IX of the General Law on Fisheries and Aquaculture regarding infringements, sanctions and procedures shall be applied.

Were any of the vessels flying your flag inspected by a SPRFMO Member? YES NO .

If yes:

- Were there any cases in which there were clear grounds for believing that a vessel has engaged in any activity contrary to the CMMs of the SPRFMO? YES NO .

If yes:

- How were the procedures described under Article 21.6 and 21.12 addressed? Did you encounter any problems?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Article 21.3: Have you conducted any at-sea inspections during the recent reporting period?

YES NO . If yes:

- Article 21.4: Have you informed the SPRFMO Secretariat of the form of identification issued to your duly authorised inspectors? YES NO
- Article 21.4: Are your vessels used for boarding and inspection clearly marked and identifiable as being on government service? YES NO
- How do you ensure that your inspectors observe all provisions under Articles 21.10 and 22.1 of the 1995 Agreement?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- How many at-sea inspections did you conduct?
- Article 21.5: Following a boarding and inspection, have you had clear grounds for believing that a vessel has engaged in any activity contrary to the CMMs of the SPRFMO? YES NO .

If yes:

- Please list all cases.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- Article 21.8: In any of these cases, did you have clear grounds for believing that a vessel has committed a serious violation in accordance with Article 21.11 of the 1995 Agreement? If so, please provide details.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- Article 21.9: Did you secure evidence and promptly notify the flag State of the alleged violation and the results of any further investigation? YES NO
- Did you encounter any problems?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

CMM 12-2017 Transhipment and Other Transfer Activities

Have any of your vessels conducted transhipments or other transfer activities during the reporting period? YES NO . If so, please complete the following questions and tables.

Para's 2 & 3: Were all transhipments and/or other transfer activities conducted between authorised vessels (i.e. on the Commission Record of Vessels at the time of the activity)? YES NO .

Table 10: Transhipments of *T. murphyi* caught in the Convention Area (para 4, 5, 8)

Number of transhipments in which your vessels unloaded <i>T. murphyi</i> catch	Number of transhipments in which your vessels received <i>T. murphyi</i> catch	How many of the <i>T. murphyi</i> transhipments were observed?

Please list the vessels that conducted transhipments of *T. murphyi* during the reporting period:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Table 11: Transhipments of demersal species¹ caught in the Convention Area (para 4, 5, 8)

Number of transhipments in which your vessels unloaded demersal catch	Number of transhipments in which your vessels received demersal catch	How many of the demersal transhipments were observed?

Please list the vessels that conducted transhipments of demersal species during the reporting period:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

¹ Demersal species generally live on or near the ocean floor.

CMM 13-2016 New & Exploratory Fisheries

Para 4: Are any of your vessels currently permitted to fish in SPRFMO exploratory fisheries?

YES NO .

Para 5: Are you seeking to permit a vessel that flies your flag to fish in an exploratory fishery?

YES NO .

Para 5a): If so, have you submitted an application to the Commission in respect of these activities?

YES NO .

Para 5b): And if so, have you submitted a Fisheries Operation Plan to the Scientific Committee in respect of these activities? YES NO .

CMM 14-2016 Exploratory Toothfish Fishing (New Zealand only)

Para 3: Did your vessels conduct exploratory fishing for toothfish during the reporting period? YES NO . (If “no” then please skip the remaining questions).

Para 5: Did all of the fishing use the bottom longline method (YES NO) and was it all conducted within the boxes specified in table 1 of that measure? YES NO .

Para 8: What was the total tonnage of toothfish caught during the period?

Para 13 & 14: Which vessel(s) were authorised and undertook exploratory fishing for toothfish? [Click here to enter text.](#)

Para 15: Was all of the exploratory fishing for toothfish in accordance with SC-03-DW-01_rev2 “Proposal for exploratory bottom longlining for toothfish by New Zealand vessels outside the bottom lining footprint during 2016 and 2017: Description of proposed activities and impact assessment” YES NO .

Para 16b): How many toothfish were tagged during the exploratory fishing () and what was the tagging overlap statistic?

Para 20: Were all of the mitigation methods specified in paragraph 20 implemented during the exploratory fishing? YES NO

Para 21: Was the information described in paragraph 21 relating to marine mammals, seabirds, turtles, and other species of concern collected? YES NO

Para 23: Was there a New Zealand government observer (and a dedicated assistant) on board the vessel during the exploratory fishing? YES NO

Para 24: Was there a video monitoring and recording system installed and was the footage provided to the New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries? YES NO

Any additional comments may be provided below:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

CMM 15-2016 Vessels without Nationality

Para 3: During the reporting period did you take any actions, in accordance with international law, against vessels without nationality (e.g. by prohibiting landing, transshipment or access to port services)
YES NO .

If so, please provide additional details:

[Click here to enter text.](#)