## A5. 2013 jack mackerel stock assessment

## Introduction

This document and content is based on discussions analyses conducted at the SC-01 meeting. Changes in the data used compared to the 2012 assessment include new age compositions for the acoustic surveys from the northern area of Chile, updates on the main abundance indices as (CPUE of Chile, China, the EU, Peru) and updates on the acoustic survey from Peru. Model modifications relative to the most recent assessment are presented below and mainly involve how selectivity was allowed to vary over time and how different data sets were weighted in model fitting.

## Scientific name and general distribution

The Chilean Jack mackerel (Trachurus murphyi, Nichols 1920) is widespread throughout the South Pacific, along the shelf and oceanic waters adjacent to Ecuador, Peru, and Chile, and across the South Pacific along the Subtropical Convergence Zone in what has been described as the "Jack mackerel belt" that goes from the coast of Chile to New Zealand within a $35^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ variable band across the South Pacific.

## Main management units

At least five management units of $T$. murphyi associated to distinct fisheries are identified in the SE Pacific: the Ecuadorian fishery, which is managed as part of a more general pelagic fishery within the Ecuadorian EEZ; the Peruvian fishery, which is managed as part of a Jack mackerel, mackerel and sardine fishery directed exclusively for direct human consumption taking place almost entirely within the Peruvian EEZ; the northern and the central-southern Chilean fisheries which are managed as separate management units, with the northern fishery being mostly within Chilean EEZ and the central-southern Chilean fishery which straddles the Chilean EEZ and the adjacent high sea; and, the purely high sea fishery which is a multinational fishery being managed entirely within the context of the SPRFMO. At present there is no directed fishery for T. murphyi in the central and western South Pacific and around New Zealand, where if any, incidental catches are very small.

## Stock structure

There are a number of competing stock structure hypotheses, and up to five and more separate stocks have been suggested: i) a Peruvian stock (northern stock) which is a straddling stock with respect to the high seas; ii) a Chilean stock (southern stock) which is also a straddling stock with respect to the high seas; iii) a central Pacific stock which exists solely in the high seas; iv) a southwest Pacific stock which exist solely in the high seas; v) and, a New Zealand-Australian stock which straddles the high seas and both the New Zealand and Australian EEZs. Regarding specifically the eastern and central South Pacific, the SPRFMO has identified the following four alternative stock structure working hypothesis: 1) Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Peru and Chile each constitute separate stocks which straddle the high seas; 2) Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Peru and Chile constitute a single shared stock which straddles the high seas; 3) Jack mackerel caught off the Chilean area constitute a single straddling stock extending from the coast out to about $120^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; and, 4) Jack mackerel caught off the Chilean area constitute separate straddling and high seas stocks.

Accordingly, the Jack Mackerel Sub-group (JMSG) of the Science Working Group (SWG) of the SPRFMO at its 11th Session (SWG-11) carried out parallel assessments of the Jack mackerel stock(s) in the Eastern South Pacific under the two main working hypothesis already identified. That is: that Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Peru and Chile each constitute separate stocks (Peruvian or northern and Chilean or southern stocks - hypothesis 1) which straddle the high seas; and, that Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Peru and Chile constitute a single shared stock (hypothesis 2 )
which straddles the high seas. In following up on the SWG-11 recommendations, the SPRFMO Commission at its 1st Commission Meeting requested the newly established Scientific Committee to continue the work on evaluating alternative hypotheses on Jack mackerel stock population and, pending more conclusive findings on the stock population structure of Jack mackerel, the Commission requested the Scientific Committee (SC) to continue and expand the stock assessment work under both the stock hypotheses considered in the 11th SWG Meeting, and this is one of the main tasks for its 1st Session (SC-01) in La Jolla, 21-27 October 2013.

## Fishery

The fishery for jack mackerel in the south-eastern Pacific is conducted by fleets from the coastal states (Chile, Peru and Ecuador), and by distant water fleets from various countries, operating beyond the EEZ of the coastal states.

The fishery by the coastal states is done by purse seiners. The largest fishery exists in Chile, where the fish are used mainly for the production of fish meal. In Peru, the fishery is variable from year to year. Here the fish is taken by purse seiners that also fish for anchovy. According to government regulations, the jack mackerel in Peru may only be used for human consumption. Ecuador constitutes the northern fringe of the distribution of jack mackerel. Here the fish only occur in certain years, when the local purse seiners may take substantial quantities ( 80000 tons in 2011). Part of the catch is processed into fish meal but recently horse mackerel has been promoted to be used for human consumption.

The distant water fleets operating for jack mackerel outside the EEZs have been from a number of parties including China, Cook Islands, Cuba, European Union (Netherlands, Germany and Lithuania ), Faroe Islands , Korea, , Japan, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Vanuatu,. These fleets consist exclusively of pelagic trawlers that freeze the catch for human consumption. In the 1980s a large fleet from Russia and other Eastern European countries operated as far west as $130^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. After the economic reforms in the communist countries around 1990, the fishery by these countries in the eastern Pacific was halted. It was not until 2003 that foreign trawlers re-appeared in the waters outside the EEZ of the coastal states.

The fishery for jack mackerel is generally a mono-specific fishery. In the offshore fishery the catch consists for $90-98 \%$ of jack mackerel, with minor by-catches of chub mackerel (Scomber japonicus) and Pacific bream (Brama australis).

The development of the catches of jack mackerel in the eastern Pacific is shown in Table A5.1.

## Management

Jack mackerel were managed by coastal states beginning in the mid-1990s. National catch quota for jack mackerel were introduced by Peru in 1995 and by Chile in 1999. Peru introduced a ban on the use of jack mackerel for fish meal in 2002. For the international waters, the first voluntary agreement on limitation of the number of vessels was introduced in 2010. Starting from 2011, catch quota for jack mackerel were introduced for all countries fishing in eastern Pacific.

## Information on the environment in relation to the fisheries

Peru is currently using the Coastal El Niño Index (ICEN, from Indice Costero de El Niño) to describe the short-term variability of the environment. Data to calculate this index on a monthly basis is available from 1950 to date. According to this index, 2013 is a year characterized by the presence of La Niña event.

Large-scale variability has also been observed and analyzed, especially with respect to changes in water masses dynamics and depth of the $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm between 1961 and 2013 . These variables
influence the spatial distribution Jack mackerel, and probably in the long run also influence its availability and abundance. The various environmental and biological signals contribute to explain the drastic decline in abundance towards the end of the 1990s implied by the results of the acoustic estimates, which took place in the absence of a significant fishing pressure and very low catches in the 1980s and 1990s. Long-term changes in the distribution pattern of the Sea Surface Temperature in Peruvian waters have by themselves noticeable impacts on the Peruvian Jack mackerel stock, as suggested by the tight parallelism between the decline of the area covered by warm isotherms $\left(22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the acoustic biomass estimates since mid-1990s.

## Reproductive biology

The main spawning season happens from October to December; however spawning has been described to occur from July to March. Gonadosomatic index and eggs surveys are the source of information to describe the time of spawning

## Data used in the assessment

## Fishery data

The catch data for the model sums values from Table A5.1 and forms four "fleets" which are intended to be consistent with the gear and general areas of fishing (Figure A5.1). These are presented in Table A5.2.

Length data are available from all major fisheries both inside and outside the EEZs. Length distributions from Chile and the international fleet are converted into age distributions using Chilean age-length keys. These data are shown in Tables A5.3, A5.4, and A5.5. For Peruvian and Ecuadorian catches, catch-at length compositions are used (Table A5.6). There was a compilation of length compositions (partial results 2013) for countries that don't have age compositions (China, Vanuatu and Korea). A weighted frequency was done as a representative of offshore fleet. The age conversion for these fleets was done considering age-length keys of central-south area of Chile. A similar procedure was applied considering the information since 2000 for all offshore fleets that have operated off Chile.

Several CPUE data series are used in the model. For the Chilean purse seiner fleet, "General Linear Models" (GLM; McCullagh \& Nelder, 1989) were used to standardize the CPUE. Following this approach, CPUE is predicted as a linear combination of explanatory variables, and the ultimate objective is to estimate the annual effect. A normal delta and delta gamma models were assessed (Pennington, 1983; Ortiz y Arocha, 2004), which models separately the positive tows from the number of catch successes, where the index is obtained as the product between the proportion of positive tows and the index estimated for the rates of fishing with catch (Lo et al, 1992). A deviance analysis was conducted to assess the importance of each main effect. Factors in the GLM included year, quarter, zone and the vessel hold capacity. Effort units were computed as the number of days of a trip multiplied by the vessel hold capacity. The rationale being that trip duration can serve as a proxy for search time.

The Peruvian CPUE was standardized using a GAM model, allowing the inclusion of non-linear relationships among the explained and explanatory variables. The independent variable (catch by trip) in a monthly scale was previously normalized using the Box-Cox transformation and modeled using time (Gregorian) month, hold capacity, latitude, and distance to the coast as explanatory variables. The standardized CPUE was estimated fixing the hold capacity, latitude, and distance to the coast to the median value and the month to March, assuming the continuous time captures the variability in the abundance of Jack mackerel.

The Chinese CPUE was standardized using a GLM and updated earlier studies. This series was
included as an index of exploitable biomass for offshore fleet. As from previous assessments, the Russian time series of CPUE was included but with low weight since it remains unstandardized. Also, for the international trawler fleet, a CPUE series for the EU fleet was used with an updated value for 2013.

## Fisheries independent data

China has a system of observers onboard fishing vessels that, among others, collect data on environmental variables (wind direction and speed, SST, etc.) in the fishing grounds. Although this data is not available at the moment, it might be in the future.

In Chile the Jack mackerel research program includes stock assessment surveys using hydroacoustics and the daily egg production method (DEPM). For the northern region (XV-III) data on acoustic biomass and number and weight at age are available from 2006 to 2012 on a yearly basis. For the central-southern regions (V-X), these data are available from 1997 to date. Eggs survey (through the Daily Egg Production Method), to estimate the abundance of the spawning stock, were conducted on an annual basis from 1999 to 2008 along the central zone of the Chilean coast. Acoustic estimates and egg survey results are used as relative abundance indices to fine-tune the stock assessment model. Besides that, for the central-southern regions there are estimates of abundance and numbers at age based on DEPM for the years 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008.

In Peru the Jack mackerel research programme includes egg and larvae surveys and hydroacoustic stock assessment surveys. Results of these egg and larvae surveys provide information on the spatial and temporal variability of Jack mackerel larvae along the Peruvian coast from 1966 to-date. A new series of acoustic biomass was provided by Peru for years 1986-2013. This series represents estimations based on the assumption of shifts in habitat area and its impact over traditional estimations. Acoustic biomass estimates of Jack mackerel are available from 1983 to-date. Because these surveys have the Peruvian anchoveta as the main target, data only covers the first 80 miles and eventually 100 miles from the coast. Corrections to compensate for this partial coverage of acoustic biomass estimates of Jack mackerel are being made by using an environmental index describing the potential habitat of this species based available data on Sea Surface Temperature (SST), Sea Surface Salinity (SSS), water masses (WM), oxycline depth (OD) and chlorophyll (CHL), since 1983 to the present on monthly basis.

Acoustic surveys, to estimate the biomass and distribution of Jack Mackerel, have been conducted along the Chilean coast, inside and outside of the EEZ and in the Peruvian EEZ, using scientific vessels and well-equipped vessels from the commercial fleet. The available acoustic estimates time series extends from 1984 to 2012. (depending on the area).

In 2012, the conversion of length composition (to age) from Peru and Ecuador was developed. Fishery length compositions (total length since 1980, converted to fork length) were included.

All CPUE (and fishery-independent) series used in the model are presented in Table A5.7.

## Biological parameters

The maturity-at-age was updated based on a Chilean study (SWG-11-JM-07). The application of these results reduced the age at first reproduction by about one year, to 2-3 years from the 3-4 years used previously. Maturity at length was consistently observed with L50 at about 23 cm FL. These values, and those for the far-north stock, are shown in A5.8.

To fit the length composition data from the far-north fleet, a growth curve was used to convert age compositions to predicted lengths in the model. The value for the von Bertalanfy growth parameters are given in Table A5.9.

In Chile the mean weight at age is calculated by year taken the mean length at age in the catch and a length-weight relationship of the year. In previous year the same weight at age matrix was used for the Northern Chilean Fleet (Fleet 1) and Southern Chilean Fleet (Fleet 2). This year a weight at age matrix specific for Northern Chile has been applied. The method uses two information sources: the length-age keys and the parameters of weight-at-length relationship from IFOP's monitoring program of the Chilean fisheries. The information was separated in two zones which correspond to fishing areas (and acoustic surveys) occur in Chile. Annual weight-at-length relationship was fitted to the data by each fleet independently and these relationships were applied to mean length at-age within each zone. The information covers the period 1974-2013; for earlier years the weight at age from 1974 was used. The four weight at-age matrices correspond to: north fleet, central-south fleet, north acoustic survey, and central-south acoustic survey. These are shown in Tables A5.10-A5.13.

In Peru the mean weight at age is calculated by year taking the invariant mean length at age estimated from the growth function (Table A.5.9) and the length-weight relationship of the year. The information covers the period 1970-2012.

Estimates of natural mortality are derived from Pauly's method, using the Gili et al (1995) growth function for Chile and the Dioses (2013) growth function for Peru. The estimated M values are assumed to be the same for all ages and all years within the given stock.

## Data sets

A summary list of all data available for the assessment is provided in Table A5.14.

## Description of assessment model

A statistical catch-at-age model was used to evaluate the jack mackerel stocks. The JJM ("Joint Jack Mackerel Model") is implemented in ADMB and considers different types of information, which corresponds to the available data of the jack mackerel fishery in the South Pacific area since 1970 to 2013.

The JJM model is an explicit age-structured model that uses a forward projection approach and maximum likelihood estimation to solve for model parameters. The operational population dynamics model is defined by the standard catch equation with various modifications such as those described by Fournier and Archibald (1982), Hilborn and Walters (1992) and Schnute and Richards (1995). This model was adopted as assessment method in 2010 after several technical meetings (http://www.southpacificrfmo.org/jack-mackerel-sub-group/).

## JJM developments

Since its adoption, the JJM model has been improved by participating scientists. The most noted change has been options to include length composition data (and specifying or estimating growth) and the capability to estimate natural mortality by age and time. The model is now more flexible and permits to use catch information either at age or size for any fleet, and incorporate explicitly regime shifts in population productivity.

The model can be considered to consist of several components, (i) the dynamics of the fish population; (ii) the fishery dynamics; (ii) observation models for the data; and (v) parameter estimation procedure.

Population dynamics: the recruitments are considered to occur in January while the spawning season is considered as instantaneous process at mid of November. The population's age comps considers individuals from 1 to $12+$ years old, and a stochastic relationship (Beverton \& Holt) between stock and recruitment is included. The survivors follow the age-specific mortality
composed by fishing mortalities at-age by fleet and the natural mortality, the latest one supposed to be constant over time and ages. The model is spatially aggregated except that the fisheries are geographically distinct. The initial population is based on an equilibrium condition and occurs in 1958 (12 years prior to the model start in 1970).

Fishery dynamics: The interaction of the fisheries with the population occurs through fishing mortality. Fishing mortality is assumed to be a composite of several separable processes selectivity (by fleets), which describes the age-specific pattern of fishing mortality; catchability, which scales fishing effort to fishing mortality; and effort deviations, which are a random effect in the fishing effort - fishing mortality relationship. The selectivity is non-parametric and assumed to be fishery-specific and time-variant. The catchability is fixed by index and is estimated in nine abundance indexes. However, for some of these, e.g. the acoustic biomass from Peru and Chile (south) and the CPUE of southern area of Chile, time variations have been considered.

Observation models for the data: There are five data components that contribute to the loglikelihood function - the total catch data, the age-frequency data, the length-frequency data and the abundance indexes data. The observed total catch data are assumed to be unbiased and relatively precise, with the CV of residuals being 0.05 .

The probability distributions for the age and length-frequency proportions are assumed to be approximated by multinomial distributions. Sample size is specified to be different by gear but constant over years. Total catch data by fishery (4) and abundance indexes (9), a log-normal assumption has been assumed with constant CV but different by fishery.

- Parameter estimation: The model parameters were estimated by maximizing the loglikelihoods of the data plus the log of the probability density functions of the priors and smoothing penalties specified in the model. Estimation was conducted in a series of phases, the first of which used arbitrary starting values for most parameters. The model has been implemented and compiled in ADMB and whose characteristics can be consulted in Fournier et al (2012)


## Model details

Parameters estimated conditionally are listed in Table A5.15. The most numerous of these involve estimates of annual and age-specific components of fishing mortality for each year from 1970-2012 and each of the four fisheries identified in the model. Parameters describing population numbers at age 1 in each year (and years prior to 1970 to estimate the initial population numbers at ages 1-12+) were the second most numerous type of parameter.

The table of equations for the assessment model is given in Tables A5.16 and A5.17. Table A5.18 contains the initial variance assumptions for the indices and age and length compositions.

The treatment of selectivities and how they are shared among fisheries and indices are given in Table A5.19, A5.20 and A5.21. Also depending on the model configuration, some growth functions were employed inside the model to convert length compositions to age compositions. Initial variance assumptions and

## Models for stock structure hypothesis

During SWG 11, two types of population structure were evaluated and this was continued for SC-01 evaluations. The following table summarizes these hypotheses with cross reference to models presented Table A5.22:

| Model reference | Stock/Hypothesis | Fleets | Considerations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.xN | Northern Stock <br> (Hypothesis \#1, <br> SPRFMO/FAO 2008) | Far north | This considers the hypothesis that the Peruvian and Ecuadorian fishery information come from the same population and it's independent of the southern stock, principally fished by the Chilean fleet. |
| 2.xS | Southern Stock <br> (Hypothesis \#1 and \#3, <br> SPRFMO/FAO 2008) | Northern <br> Central- <br> South <br> Offshore <br> fleet | This considers the hypothesis that the fishery information from Chile and those international fleets that operate offshore off EEZ Chile come from the same population, whose it's independent of the northern stock, principally fished by the Peruvian fleet. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.x, } \\ & \text { 1.x } \\ & \text { 3.x } \\ & 4 . x \end{aligned}$ | A single stock <br> (Hypothesis \#2, <br> SPRFMO/FAO 2008) | All fleets | This considers the hypothesis that the northern and southern stock correspond to a single population unit. |

## Description of exploratory assessments

## Description of key changes from base case assessment to exploratory assessment

As a progression of sensitivities of Model 0.4 , there were different approaches to consider catchability (q) changes in 2012 and 2013 in the Chilean CPUE (Model 1.1) and the Peruvian acoustic catchability changes from 1994 (Model 1.2). Then, time-varying selectivity changes were introduce for the South Central Chilean fishery (Model 1.3) and because of the improvement in the adjustments, time- varying selectivity changes were considered for the other fleets. There were exercises, increasing variability in the recruitments (Sigma $\mathrm{R}=1.0$, Model 1.5) and down weighting indices (Models 1.6, 1.7 and 1.9) and also estimating natural mortality ( $M$; Model 1.9). Same model configurations that were used to the single stock hypothesis were implemented as a mirror in Models 2.1 to 2.9 but considering differences in M between areas ( $\mathrm{M}=0.33$ and growth function for the Far North model, and $\mathrm{M}=0.23$ for the Southern model). As noted above, these model configurations are summarized in Table A5.22.

Results of exploratory assessments evaluate these, the negative-log likelihood components were presented to evaluate trade-offs between different data components and model assumptions.

## Assessment results

During the meeting a series of alternatives were examined. To evaluate these, the negative-log likelihood components were presented to evaluate trade-offs between different data components and model assumptions (Table A5.23). It is important to note that some values in this table for some subsets of models cannot be compared across models because some models introduce new data (i.e., the revised acoustic survey index for Peru). Also, comparison between models with different stock structure hypotheses (i.e., those identified with 2.0-2.9) require consideration of the number of parameters.

For projection purposes, alternative considerations about recruitment regimes and productivity were configured as Models 4.1-4.4. Based on results over all models and sensitivities including ageing error, Model 4.1 (which is identical to 3.1) was selected as the base case for assessment results.

Results comparing the impact of new data (models 0.0-0.4) show that for the starting model
configuration, the biomass trend was a bit more gradual and recruitment varied more as all the data were included (Figure A5.2). For the alternative model configurations the range of uncertainty was reasonably broad for the recent trend in spawning biomass but overall the patterns were quite similar (Figure A5.3). The rationale for selecting model 1.4 among these was due to the improved fit to the data and a broader representation of the uncertainty among indices and age compositions. The other alternative configurations (models 1.1-1.9) were largely consistent (except for 1.8 in which an unrealistically high value of M was estimated). Comparing model 1.4 with the alternative stock structure indicated that the "south" model (2.4_S) was very similar to the combined stock-structure model (1.4; Figure A5.4). Comparing the recruitment patterns in this figure, it appears that the farnorth model some synchrony in recruitment except for in 1990 and a few other years. This may be due to divergent environmental conditions and may lend some support to the two-stock hypothesis.

Fishery catch fits are shown in Figure A5.5 and mean weight-at-age assumed for this model is shown in Figure A5.6. The model numbers-at-age estimates are given in Table A5.24. The fishery age and length composition fits are shown in Figures A5.7, A5.8, A5.9, and A5.10. The age composition data from the surveys are given in Figures A5.11 and A5.12. This model fit the indices reasonably well (Figure A5.13). Fits to the index and fishery mean age compositions are shown in Figures A5.14, and A5.15.

Selectivity estimates for the fishery and indices is shown over time in Figs. A5.16 and A5.17 respectively. A summary of the time series stock status (spawning biomass, F, recruitment, total biomass) is shown in Fig. A5.18. The immature component of the stock appears to be increasing since about 2008 and the mature component of the stock has also begun to show signs of stabilization and possibly an increase (Fig. A5.19). As in past years, the biomass can be projected forward based on the estimated recruits (with an adjustment due to the change in spawning biomass through the stock recruitment relationship) to evaluate the impact of fishing. This can be informative to distinguish environmental effects relative to direct fishing impacts. For jack mackerel fishing has appeared to be a major cause of the population trend with the current level at below $20 \%$ of what is estimated to have occurred had there been no fishing (Fig. A5.20).

Fishing mortality rates at age (combined fleets) were relatively high starting in about 1992 but has declined in the past few years (Fig. A5.21; Table A5.25.). The stock recruitment relationship appears to be consistent with the fixed value of steepness assumed (0.8; Fig. A5.22). In order to evaluate the potential for alternative "regimes", stock recruitment curves were estimated over different periods and found that within the current period (2000-2012) the level of expected recruitment was considerably lower than the alternatives (Fig. A5.23).

## Management advice

## Projections and risk analysis

Considering the actual population status of jack mackerel, the subgroup recommended examining constant fishing mortality scenarios with current levels ( $F_{2013}$ ) and at $125 \%, 75 \%, 50 \%$, and $0 \%$ (no catch). For evaluation purposes, four recruitment scenarios were developed which reflected hypotheses about the scale of the recruitment (by period or "regime") and the stock recruitment productivity near the origin (stock recruitment "steepness"). The scale of recruitment was affected by the "regime" (2000-2011) and steepness hypotheses were specified at values of 0.8 and 0.65 (Figure A5.23). In addition to these specified sources of uncertainty, uncertainty in all other internallyestimated model parameters along with future recruitment variability were also propagated forward. An evaluation of risk was developed that was conditioned on this uncertainty. Objectives considered included the goal to rebuild the stock to the long-term expected $B_{M S Y}$ level using likely recruitment scenarios expected in the near-term.

Projections using the entire time series of recruitment (1970-2011) under the assumption of constant fishing mortality equal to 2013 levels (Models 4.1 and 4.4) indicate that the biomass is expected to increase over the next 10 years, eventually reaching $B_{M S Y}$ in about 5 years. Projections using recruitment levels from 2000-2011 (believed be a period of lower productivity compared to that prior to 2000; Models 4.2 and 4.3) indicate that the biomass is expected to increase over the next 5 years but then stabilize at a point below the agreed provisional $B_{M S Y}$ (Figure A5.24). The 2014 catch that corresponds to the 2013 estimated effort level is 440,000 t.

## Assessment issues

The assessment in 2012 estimated SSB in 2012 at 1.5 million tons. The 2013 assessment however estimates SSB in 2012 at 2.4 million tons, a marked increase. The point estimate for the 2012 fishing mortality went from 0.27 in the 2012 assessment compared to 0.15 from currently accepted model. These differences can be explained by updated data including different mean weights-at-age than assumed in the past as well as catch and index information. Also, the current model fits the available data substantially better than previous assessments and is based on a more rigorous examination of statistical weights placed on different types and sources of data. However, the overall trends between the 2012 assessment and the present one are quite similar.

The quality of the input data improved considerably from 2012 to 2013 with the inclusion of variable weight-at-age matrices for different datasets and standardization of indices. The lack of standardization in the EU and Russian CPUE time series is still a concern but does not seem to affect the assessment results. Potentially, allowing the stock assessment model to fit to length frequency data of these fisheries might improve the offshore fleet fits.

The inability to adequately estimate natural mortality, either internally or from other approaches, continues to be a concern. Estimating natural mortality within the stock assessment model resulted in implausible values. As natural mortality might be related to variable environmental conditions, explorations of time-varying estimation and alternative model configurations should be pursued in future evaluations.

During the discussion of management control rules and reference points the issue of "unfished" biomass was noted as needing clearer descriptions. In fact, there are a variety of definitions which often may have interchangeable symbols or phrases to represent them. The table below provides a summary of some definitions to help clarify considerations of stock depletion levels and other biological reference points:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Symbol } & \text { Definition } & \text { Notes } \\ \hline B_{0} & \begin{array}{l}\text { From a stock recruitment relationship it is the } \\ \text { point where the equilibrium stock size will } \\ \text { generate the recruitment needed to maintain the } \\ \text { population at that level }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { This is the classical age-structured } \\ \text { definition. Inversely correlated with stock } \\ \text { recruitment steepness it is difficult to } \\ \text { reliably estimate. Provides the only } \\ \text { theoretical basis for } B_{M S Y} \text { estimation }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{lll}\text { Dynamic } \\ \text { Bo or }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Given an age structured model with estimates of } \\ \text { recruitment in each year, it is the spawning } \\ \text { Unfished } \\ \text { biomass }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Has an advantage in that it may show the } \\ \text { extent of population declines due to } \\ \text { occurred (i.e., the historical population is } \\ \text { projected forward from these recruits with only } \\ \text { natural mortality }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { environmental conditions relative to the } \\ \text { impact of fishing. Easy to estimate and } \\ \text { compute. }\end{array}\right\}$

Management would benefit from having either biomass or fishing mortality targets / limits at hand. Decisions on these targets could be informed results from SC-01-05 and SC-01-17. The assessment appears to be maturing to the point where issues of model specification are diminishing. A next step would be to more fully embrace the work presented SC-01-17, specifically the part that functions as a conditioned operating model (given current assessment configurations) for use to test management procedures (or management strategies). More work is needed to develop transparent control rules that can be tested against this type of operating model (e.g., the three rules covered in SC-01-17). These would need to be evaluated by performance indicators relevant to the objectives of the Commission. This document highlights some of the complexities involved in defining "recovery plans" (i.e., recovery to what?) given recent recruitment estimates and environmental conditions. Management strategies robust to these conditions require further development.
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A5. Tables

Table A5.1. Sources and values of catch ( t ) compiled for the four fleets used for the assessment.

|  | Fleet 1 | Fleet 2 | Fleet 3 (Far North) |  |  |  |  |  | Fleet 4 (Offshore Trawl) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | N Chile | Chile CS | Cook <br> Islands | Cuba <br> (2) | Ecuador <br> (ANJ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peru } \\ \text { (ANJ) } \end{gathered}$ | USSR | Subtotal | Belize | China | Cuba | European Union | Faroe <br> Islands | Japan | Korea | Peru | $\begin{gathered} \text { Russia/ } \\ \text { USSR } \end{gathered}$ | Ukraine | Vanuatu | Subtotal |  |
| 1970 | $\underline{101685}$ | 10309 |  |  |  | 4711 |  | 4711 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 116705 |
| 1971 | $\underline{143454}$ | 14988 |  |  |  | 9189 |  | 9189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 167631 |
| 1972 | 64457 | 22546 |  |  |  | 18782 |  | 18782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5500 |  |  | 5500 | 111285 |
| 1973 | 83204 | 38391 |  |  |  | 42781 |  | 42781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 164376 |
| 1974 | $\underline{164762}$ | 28750 |  |  |  | 129211 |  | 129211 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 322723 |
| 1975 | $\underline{207327}$ | 53878 |  |  |  | 37899 |  | 37899 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 299104 |
| 1976 | $\underline{257698}$ | 84571 |  |  |  | 54154 |  | 54154 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 396458 |
| 1977 | $\underline{226234}$ | 114572 |  |  |  | 504992 |  | 504992 |  |  |  |  |  | 2273 |  |  |  |  |  | 2273 | 848071 |
| 1978 | $\underline{398414}$ | 188267 |  |  |  | 386793 | 0 | 386793 |  |  |  |  |  | 1667 | 403 |  | 49220 |  |  | 51290 | 1024764 |
| 1979 | $\underline{344051}$ | 253460 |  | 6281 |  | 151591 | 175938 | 333810 |  |  | 12719 | 1180 |  | 120 |  |  | 356271 |  |  | 370290 | 1301611 |
| 1980 | $\underline{288809}$ | 273453 |  | 38841 |  | 123380 | 252078 | 414299 |  |  | 45130 | 1780 |  |  |  |  | 292892 |  |  | 339802 | 1316363 |
| 1981 | 474817 | 586092 |  | 35783 |  | 37875 | 371981 | 445638 |  |  | 38444 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 399649 |  |  | 438123 | 1944670 |
| 1982 | $\underline{789912}$ | 704771 |  | 9589 |  | 50013 | 84122 | 143724 |  |  | 74292 | 7136 |  |  |  |  | 651776 |  |  | 733204 | 2371611 |
| 1983 | 301934 | 563338 |  | 2096 |  | 76825 | 31769 | 110690 |  |  | 52779 | 39943 |  | 1694 |  |  | 799884 |  |  | 894300 | 1870262 |
| 1984 | 727000 | 699301 |  | 560 |  | 184333 | 15781 | 200674 |  |  | 33448 | 80129 |  | 3871 |  |  | 942479 |  |  | 1059927 | 2686902 |
| 1985 | $\underline{511150}$ | $\underline{945839}$ |  | 1067 |  | 87466 | 26089 | 114622 |  |  | 31191 |  |  | 5229 |  |  | 762903 |  |  | 799323 | 2370934 |
| 1986 | $\underline{55210}$ | $\underline{1129107}$ |  | 66 |  | 49863 | 1100 | 51029 |  |  | 46767 |  |  | 6835 |  |  | 783900 |  |  | 837502 | 2072848 |
| 1987 | $\underline{313310}$ | $\underline{1456727}$ |  | 0 |  | 46304 | 0 | 46304 |  |  | 35980 |  |  | 8815 |  |  | 818628 |  |  | 863423 | 2679764 |
| 1988 | 325462 | $\underline{1812793}$ |  | 5676 |  | 118076 | 120476 | 244229 |  |  | 38533 |  |  | 6871 |  |  | 817812 |  |  | 863215 | 3245699 |
| 1989 | $\underline{338600}$ | $\underline{2051517}$ |  | 3386 | 35108 | 140720 | 137033 | 316247 |  |  | 21100 |  |  | 701 |  |  | 854020 |  |  | 875821 | 3582185 |
| 1990 | 323089 | $\underline{2148786}$ |  | 6904 | 4144 | 191139 | 168636 | 370823 |  |  | 34293 |  |  | 157 |  |  | 837609 |  |  | 872059 | 3714757 |
| 1991 | $\underline{346245}$ | $\underline{2674267}$ |  | 1703 | 45313 | 136337 | 30094 | 213447 |  |  | 29125 |  |  |  |  |  | 514534 |  |  | 543659 | 3777618 |
| 1992 | $\underline{304243}$ | $\underline{2907817}$ |  | 0 | 15022 | 96660 | 0 | 111682 |  |  | 3196 |  |  |  |  |  | 32000 | 2736 |  | 37932 | 3361674 |
| 1993 | $\underline{379467}$ | $\underline{2856777}$ |  |  | 2673 | 130681 |  | 133354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3369598 |
| 1994 | $\underline{222254}$ | $\underline{3819193}$ |  |  | 36575 | 196771 |  | 233346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4274793 |
| 1995 | $\underline{230177}$ | 4174016 |  |  | 174393 | 376600 |  | 550993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4955186 |
| 1996 | $\underline{\underline{278439}}$ | $\underline{3604887}$ |  |  | 56782 | 438736 |  | 495518 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4378844 |
| 1997 | $\underline{104198}$ | $\underline{2812866}$ |  |  | 30302 | 649751 |  | 680053 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3597117 |
| 1998 | 30273 | 1582639 |  |  | 25900 | 386946 |  | 412846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2025758 |
| 1999 | $\underline{55654}$ | $\underline{1164035}$ |  |  | 19072 | 184679 |  | 203751 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1423447 |
| 2000 | $\underline{118734}$ | $\underline{1115565}$ |  |  | 7121 | 296579 |  | 303700 |  | 2318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2318 | 1540317 |
| 2001 | $\underline{\underline{248097}}$ | $\underline{1401836}$ |  |  | 134011 | 723733 |  | 857744 |  | 20090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20090 | 2527767 |
| 2002 | $\underline{108727}$ | $\underline{1410266}$ |  |  | 604 | 154219 |  | 154823 |  | 76261 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76261 | 1750077 |
| 2003 | $\underline{143277}$ | $\underline{1278019}$ |  |  | 0 | 217734 |  | 217734 |  | 94690 |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  | 7540 |  | 53959 | 158199 | 1797229 |
| 2004 | $\underline{158656}$ | $\underline{1292943}$ |  |  | 0 | 187369 |  | 187369 |  | 131020 |  |  |  |  | 7438 |  | 62300 |  | 94685 | 295443 | 1934411 |
| 2005 | $\underline{165626}$ | $\underline{1264808}$ |  |  | 0 | 80663 |  | 80663 | 867 | 143000 |  | 6179 |  |  | 9126 |  | 7040 |  | 77356 | 243568 | 1754665 |
| 2006 | $\underline{155256}$ | $\underline{1224685}$ |  |  | 0 | 277568 |  | 277568 | 481 | 160000 |  | 62137 |  |  | 10474 |  | 0 |  | 129535 | 362627 | 2020136 |
| 2007 | $\underline{172701}$ | $\underline{1130083}$ | 7 |  | 927 | 254426 |  | 255360 | 12585 | 140582 |  | 123511 | 38700 |  | 10940 |  | 0 |  | 112501 | 438818 | 1996962 |
| 2008 | $\underline{167258}$ | 728850 | , |  | 0 | 169537 |  | 169537 | 15245 | 143182 |  | 106665 | 22919 |  | 12600 |  | 4800 |  | 100066 | 405477 | 1471122 |
| 2009 | $\underline{134022}$ | 700905 | O |  | $\underline{1935}$ | 74694 |  | 76629 | 5681 | 117963 |  | 111921 | 20213 | 0 | 13759 | 13326 | 9113 |  | 79942 | 371918 | 1283474 |
| 2010 | $\underline{169012}$ | 295796 |  |  | 4613 | 17559 |  | 22172 | 2240 | 63606 |  | 67749 | 11643 | 0 | 8183 | 40516 |  |  | 45908 | 239845 | 726825 |
| 2011 | $\underline{30825}$ | $\underline{216470}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  | 69153 | 257241 |  | 326394 | 0 | 32862 | 8 | 2248 | 0 | 0 | 9253 | 674 | 8229 |  | 7672 | 60946 | 634635 |
| 2012 | $\underline{16208}$ | $\underline{211252}$ |  |  | 104 | 168779 |  | 168883 | 0 | $\underline{13012}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5492 | 5290 | 0 |  | $\underline{16068}$ | 39862 | 436205 |
| 2013 | 31000 | 211013 | 0 |  | 2477 | 50000 |  | 52477 |  | 10000 |  | 8992 |  |  | 5054 | 3772 |  |  | 19412 | 47230 | 341720 |

Underlined figures have been updated; the 2013 figures are the best catch estimates for the entire year.

Table A5.2. Input catch by fleet (combined) for the stock assessment model. Note that 2013 data are preliminary.

|  | Fleet 1 | Fleet 2 | Fleet 3 | Fleet 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1970 | 101,685 | 10,309 | 4,711 | 0 |
| 1971 | 143,454 | 14,988 | 9,189 | 0 |
| 1972 | 64,457 | 22,546 | 18,782 | 5,500 |
| 1973 | 83,204 | 38,391 | 42,781 | 0 |
| 1974 | 164,762 | 28,750 | 129,211 | 0 |
| 1975 | 207,327 | 53,878 | 37,899 | 0 |
| 1976 | 257,698 | 84,571 | 54,154 | 35 |
| 1977 | 226,234 | 114,572 | 504,992 | 2,273 |
| 1978 | 398,414 | 188,267 | 386,793 | 51,290 |
| 1979 | 344,051 | 253,460 | 333,810 | 370,290 |
| 1980 | 288,809 | 273,453 | 414,299 | 339,802 |
| 1981 | 474,817 | 586,092 | 445,638 | 438,123 |
| 1982 | 789,912 | 704,771 | 143,724 | 733,204 |
| 1983 | 301,934 | 563,338 | 110,690 | 894,300 |
| 1984 | 727,000 | 699,301 | 200,674 | $1,059,927$ |
| 1985 | 511,150 | 945,839 | 114,622 | 799,323 |
| 1986 | 55,210 | $1,129,107$ | 51,029 | 837,502 |
| 1987 | 313,310 | $1,456,727$ | 46,304 | 863,423 |
| 1988 | 325,462 | $1,812,793$ | 244,229 | 863,215 |
| 1989 | 338,600 | $2,051,517$ | 316,247 | 875,821 |
| 1990 | 323,089 | $2,148,786$ | 370,823 | 872,059 |
| 1991 | 346,245 | $2,674,267$ | 213,447 | 543,659 |
| 1992 | 304,243 | $2,907,817$ | 111,682 | 37,932 |
| 1993 | 379,467 | $2,856,777$ | 133,354 | 0 |
| 1994 | 222,254 | $3,819,193$ | 233,346 | 0 |
| 1995 | 230,177 | $4,174,016$ | 550,993 | 0 |
| 1996 | 278,439 | $3,604,887$ | 495,518 | 0 |
| 1997 | 104,198 | $2,812,866$ | 680,053 | 0 |
| 1998 | 30,273 | $1,582,639$ | 412,846 | 0 |
| 1999 | 55,654 | $1,164,035$ | 203,751 | 0 |
| 2000 | 118,734 | $1,115,565$ | 303,700 | 2,318 |
| 2001 | 248,097 | $1,401,836$ | 857,744 | 20,090 |
| 2002 | 108,727 | $1,410,266$ | 154,823 | 76,261 |
| 2003 | 143,277 | $1,278,019$ | 217,734 | 158,199 |
| 2004 | 158,656 | $1,292,943$ | 187,369 | 295,443 |
| 2005 | 165,626 | $1,264,808$ | 80,663 | 243,568 |
| 2006 | 155,256 | $1,224,685$ | 277,568 | 362,627 |
| 2007 | 172,701 | $1,130,083$ | 255,360 | 438,818 |
| 2008 | 167,258 | 728,850 | 169,537 | 405,477 |
| 2009 | 134,022 | 700,905 | 76,629 | 371,918 |
| 2010 | 169,012 | 295,796 | 22,172 | 239,845 |
| 2011 | 30,825 | 216,470 | 326,394 | 60,946 |
| 2013 | 16,208 | 211,252 | 168,883 | 39,862 |
|  | 31,000 | 211,013 | 52,477 | 47,230 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table A5.3. Input catch at age for fleet 1. Units are relative value (they are normalized to sum to one for each year in the model).

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $12+$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1975 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 28 | 29 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 37 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 33 | 25 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 25 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 22 | 23 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 17 | 23 | 27 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 24 | 29 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 20 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 29 | 27 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 27 | 29 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 41 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 41 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 45 | 38 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 48 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 12 | 23 | 23 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 10 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 16 | 32 | 28 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 34 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 5 | 55 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 7 | 30 | 17 | 30 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 12 | 63 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 6 | 12 | 47 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 1 | 14 | 55 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 59 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 4 | 26 | 38 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 52 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1 | 49 | 24 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 51 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 32 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 6 | 59 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 4 | 12 | 15 | 61 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Table A5.4. Input catch at age for fleet 2. Units are relative value (they are normalized to sum to one in the model)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 28 | 25 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 36 | 31 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 35 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 31 | 26 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 31 | 28 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 24 | 26 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 17 | 25 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 23 | 27 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 31 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 24 | 33 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 32 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 24 | 10 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 32 | 19 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 33 | 19 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 28 | 31 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 3 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 1 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 31 | 22 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 35 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 34 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 34 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2002 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 26 | 26 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 30 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 29 | 29 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 39 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| 2008 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 9 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 35 | 10 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 31 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table A5.5. Input catch at length for fleet 3. Units are relative value (they are normalized to sum to one for each year in the model).


Table A5.6. Input catch at age for fleet 4. Units are relative value (they are normalized to sum to one for each year in the model).

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $12+$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 25 | 30 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 24 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 31 | 22 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 31 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 1983 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 23 | 30 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 25 | 28 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 33 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 24 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 33 | 28 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 40 | 23 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 27 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 42 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 48 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 37 | 29 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 30 | 26 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 35 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 15 | 5 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 24 | 14 | 6 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 27 | 8 | 8 |
| 2013 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 27 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 |

Table A5.7. Index values used within the assessment model. Legend:
Chile (1): Acoustics for south-central zone in Chile
Chile (2): Acoustics for northern zone in Chile
Chile (3): Chilean south-central fishery CPUE for fleet 2
Chile (4): Daily Egg Production Method
Peru(1): Peruvian acoustic index in fleet 3
Peru(2): Peruvian fishery CPUE in fleet 3
China: $\quad$ Chinese CPUE for fleet 4
EU_U: CPUE for EU in fleet 4
Rus./USSR: Catch per day from Russian/USSR in fleet 4

| Year | Chile (1) | Chile (2) | Chile (3) | Chile (4) | Peru(1) | Peru(2) | China | EU_U | Russia/USSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 |  |  | 0.646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 99 | 0.569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 |  | 324 | 0.466 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 |  | 123 | 0.402 |  | 17811 |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 |  | 213 | 0.481 |  | 22955 |  |  |  | 55.020 |
| 1988 |  | 134 | 0.410 |  | 9459 |  |  |  | 58.240 |
| 1989 |  |  | 0.419 |  | 15034 |  |  |  | 51.060 |
| 1990 |  |  | 0.333 |  | 14139 |  |  |  | 52.570 |
| 1991 |  | 242 | 0.409 |  | 16486 |  |  |  | 60.990 |
| 1992 |  |  | 0.349 |  | 6266 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 |  |  | 0.302 |  | 19659 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  |  | 0.359 |  | 10768 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  | 0.322 |  | 6429 |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 0.334 |  | 7271 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 3530 |  | 0.293 |  | 2561 |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 3200 |  | 0.277 |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 4100 |  | 0.329 | 5724 | 342 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 5600 |  | 0.309 | 4688 | 2373 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5950 |  | 0.397 | 5627 | 2052 |  | 1.144 |  |  |
| 2002 | 3700 |  | 0.331 |  | 248 | 214 | 2.022 |  |  |
| 2003 | 2640 |  | 0.289 | 1388 | 1118 | 245 | 1.607 |  |  |
| 2004 | 2640 |  | 0.316 | 3287 | 864 | 278 | 1.190 |  |  |
| 2005 | 4110 |  | 0.287 | 1043 | 1025 | 195 | 1.190 |  |  |
| 2006 | 3192 | 112 | 0.316 | 3283 | 1678 | 247 | 0.782 | 310 |  |
| 2007 | 3140 | 275 | 0.240 | 626 | 522 | 232 | 0.873 | 308 |  |
| 2008 | 487 | 259 | 0.161 | 1935 | 223 | 221 | 0.666 | 256 | 77.419 |
| 2009 | 328 | 18 | 0.134 |  | 849 | 184 | 0.634 | 209 | 59.563 |
| 2010 |  | 440 | 0.099 |  |  |  | 0.499 | 124 |  |
| 2011 |  | 432 | 0.057 |  | 678 | 268 | 0.392 | 57 | 45.213 |
| 2012 |  | 230 | 0.173 |  | 94 | 267 | 0.408 |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 0.148 |  | 890 |  |  | 81 |  |

Table A5.8. Jack mackerel sexual maturity by age used in the JMM models.

| Age (yr) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Stock | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Far North Stock | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table A5.9. Growth parameters and natural mortality.

| Parameter | Far North stock | South Stock | Single stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{\infty}(\mathrm{cm})$ (Total length) |  |  |  |
| $k$ | 80.77 | - | 80.77 |
| $t_{0}($ year | 0.16 | - | 0.16 |
| $M$ (year $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ | -0.356 | - | -0.356 |

Table A5.10. Input mean body mass (kg) at age over time assumed for fleet 1.

| Fleet 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0.050 | 0.089 | 0.129 | 0.189 | 0.248 | 0.313 | 0.396 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1976 | 0.050 | 0.089 | 0.129 | 0.189 | 0.248 | 0.313 | 0.396 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1977 | 0.050 | 0.089 | 0.129 | 0.189 | 0.248 | 0.313 | 0.396 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1978 | 0.050 | 0.105 | 0.124 | 0.163 | 0.204 | 0.314 | 0.369 | 0.405 | 0.434 | 0.453 | 0.590 | 1.115 |
| 1979 | 0.050 | 0.108 | 0.163 | 0.179 | 0.217 | 0.274 | 0.370 | 0.420 | 0.474 | 0.629 | 0.633 | 1.115 |
| 1980 | 0.050 | 0.069 | 0.118 | 0.210 | 0.256 | 0.324 | 0.410 | 0.451 | 0.511 | 0.998 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1981 | 0.050 | 0.094 | 0.139 | 0.214 | 0.269 | 0.331 | 0.412 | 0.481 | 0.580 | 0.661 | 1.112 | 1.115 |
| 1982 | 0.071 | 0.093 | 0.168 | 0.202 | 0.248 | 0.305 | 0.356 | 0.411 | 0.446 | 0.471 | 0.719 | 1.115 |
| 1983 | 0.084 | 0.099 | 0.119 | 0.221 | 0.264 | 0.314 | 0.377 | 0.429 | 0.475 | 0.528 | 0.540 | 1.115 |
| 1984 | 0.050 | 0.164 | 0.186 | 0.217 | 0.273 | 0.345 | 0.394 | 0.437 | 0.497 | 0.568 | 0.786 | 1.115 |
| 1985 | 0.050 | 0.167 | 0.173 | 0.224 | 0.271 | 0.340 | 0.401 | 0.465 | 0.536 | 0.582 | 0.726 | 1.115 |
| 1986 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.143 | 0.222 | 0.289 | 0.332 | 0.418 | 0.497 | 0.550 | 0.869 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1987 | 0.092 | 0.121 | 0.146 | 0.189 | 0.233 | 0.336 | 0.427 | 0.477 | 0.513 | 0.650 | 0.803 | 1.115 |
| 1988 | 0.050 | 0.110 | 0.167 | 0.197 | 0.230 | 0.298 | 0.472 | 0.545 | 0.586 | 0.610 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1989 | 0.050 | 0.123 | 0.167 | 0.230 | 0.270 | 0.310 | 0.379 | 0.491 | 0.541 | 0.569 | 0.713 | 1.115 |
| 1990 | 0.069 | 0.099 | 0.160 | 0.248 | 0.290 | 0.338 | 0.409 | 0.533 | 0.651 | 0.677 | 0.756 | 1.115 |
| 1991 | 0.049 | 0.121 | 0.143 | 0.201 | 0.277 | 0.366 | 0.408 | 0.478 | 0.637 | 0.720 | 0.794 | 0.883 |
| 1992 | 0.069 | 0.092 | 0.127 | 0.201 | 0.268 | 0.300 | 0.373 | 0.444 | 0.512 | 0.595 | 0.681 | 0.786 |
| 1993 | 0.021 | 0.116 | 0.152 | 0.205 | 0.298 | 0.364 | 0.422 | 0.489 | 0.528 | 0.596 | 0.774 | 0.889 |
| 1994 | 0.059 | 0.097 | 0.107 | 0.235 | 0.291 | 0.330 | 0.387 | 0.459 | 0.565 | 0.748 | 0.798 | 0.898 |
| 1995 | 0.069 | 0.101 | 0.137 | 0.186 | 0.263 | 0.321 | 0.357 | 0.434 | 0.561 | 0.668 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1996 | 0.067 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.170 | 0.229 | 0.295 | 0.367 | 0.507 | 0.657 | 0.639 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1997 | 0.029 | 0.063 | 0.125 | 0.177 | 0.246 | 0.357 | 0.503 | 0.615 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.104 | 0.195 | 0.249 | 0.290 | 0.390 | 0.475 | 0.634 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 1999 | 0.071 | 0.074 | 0.089 | 0.147 | 0.270 | 0.315 | 0.446 | 0.722 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2000 | 0.043 | 0.054 | 0.138 | 0.191 | 0.225 | 0.251 | 0.372 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2001 | 0.066 | 0.093 | 0.112 | 0.133 | 0.204 | 0.286 | 0.421 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2002 | 0.029 | 0.059 | 0.092 | 0.172 | 0.238 | 0.327 | 0.398 | 0.416 | 0.628 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2003 | 0.036 | 0.082 | 0.102 | 0.141 | 0.227 | 0.309 | 0.416 | 0.464 | 0.534 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2004 | 0.037 | 0.078 | 0.164 | 0.186 | 0.203 | 0.257 | 0.342 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2005 | 0.029 | 0.076 | 0.111 | 0.175 | 0.222 | 0.268 | 0.281 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2006 | 0.032 | 0.074 | 0.114 | 0.132 | 0.204 | 0.374 | 0.442 | 0.506 | 0.606 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2007 | 0.087 | 0.075 | 0.122 | 0.158 | 0.222 | 0.296 | 0.404 | 0.514 | 0.614 | 0.723 | 0.723 | 1.115 |
| 2008 | 0.042 | 0.047 | 0.066 | 0.187 | 0.243 | 0.291 | 0.388 | 0.563 | 0.616 | 0.748 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2009 | 0.015 | 0.047 | 0.106 | 0.138 | 0.239 | 0.285 | 0.335 | 0.526 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2010 | 0.013 | 0.048 | 0.101 | 0.172 | 0.233 | 0.301 | 0.397 | 0.493 | 0.639 | 0.772 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2011 | 0.019 | 0.065 | 0.095 | 0.167 | 0.276 | 0.314 | 0.398 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2012 | 0.016 | 0.048 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.235 | 0.269 | 0.396 | 0.488 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.880 | 1.115 |
| 2013 | 0.050 | 0.090 | 0.129 | 0.188 | 0.248 | 0.312 | 0.395 | 0.488 | 0.565 | 0.687 | 0.821 | 1.086 |

Table A5.11. Input mean body mass (kg) at age over time assumed for fleet 2.

| Fleet 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0.052 | 0.093 | 0.131 | 0.178 | 0.262 | 0.294 | 0.340 | 0.396 | 0.549 | 0.738 | 0.984 | 1.093 |
| 1976 | 0.052 | 0.078 | 0.155 | 0.214 | 0.275 | 0.336 | 0.394 | 0.472 | 0.632 | 0.714 | 0.898 | 1.538 |
| 1977 | 0.055 | 0.092 | 0.109 | 0.236 | 0.275 | 0.314 | 0.375 | 0.456 | 0.521 | 0.732 | 0.651 | 1.137 |
| 1978 | 0.052 | 0.084 | 0.104 | 0.147 | 0.211 | 0.327 | 0.394 | 0.449 | 0.514 | 0.583 | 0.631 | 1.538 |
| 1979 | 0.052 | 0.108 | 0.160 | 0.199 | 0.241 | 0.301 | 0.388 | 0.466 | 0.588 | 0.871 | 1.265 | 1.972 |
| 1980 | 0.026 | 0.060 | 0.132 | 0.231 | 0.272 | 0.350 | 0.447 | 0.519 | 0.716 | 0.820 | 1.073 | 1.854 |
| 1981 | 0.052 | 0.095 | 0.149 | 0.242 | 0.294 | 0.340 | 0.407 | 0.503 | 0.637 | 0.765 | 1.184 | 1.900 |
| 1982 | 0.055 | 0.085 | 0.166 | 0.207 | 0.269 | 0.323 | 0.378 | 0.472 | 0.536 | 0.644 | 0.987 | 1.185 |
| 1983 | 0.070 | 0.099 | 0.122 | 0.230 | 0.273 | 0.320 | 0.374 | 0.461 | 0.596 | 0.709 | 1.196 | 1.769 |
| 1984 | 0.035 | 0.135 | 0.154 | 0.185 | 0.266 | 0.330 | 0.383 | 0.449 | 0.577 | 0.685 | 1.012 | 1.846 |
| 1985 | 0.058 | 0.148 | 0.181 | 0.223 | 0.270 | 0.339 | 0.398 | 0.473 | 0.573 | 0.796 | 1.376 | 1.647 |
| 1986 | 0.073 | 0.075 | 0.172 | 0.247 | 0.286 | 0.346 | 0.427 | 0.518 | 0.640 | 0.844 | 1.351 | 2.110 |
| 1987 | 0.076 | 0.117 | 0.140 | 0.191 | 0.270 | 0.357 | 0.434 | 0.503 | 0.577 | 0.689 | 1.089 | 1.979 |
| 1988 | 0.100 | 0.124 | 0.159 | 0.197 | 0.233 | 0.342 | 0.444 | 0.512 | 0.588 | 0.750 | 1.012 | 1.372 |
| 1989 | 0.052 | 0.103 | 0.220 | 0.241 | 0.278 | 0.339 | 0.467 | 0.585 | 0.702 | 0.779 | 0.880 | 1.538 |
| 1990 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.153 | 0.264 | 0.309 | 0.373 | 0.461 | 0.582 | 0.694 | 0.835 | 0.970 | 1.598 |
| 1991 | 0.037 | 0.106 | 0.132 | 0.186 | 0.271 | 0.381 | 0.451 | 0.542 | 0.667 | 0.787 | 0.901 | 1.053 |
| 1992 | 0.063 | 0.083 | 0.118 | 0.177 | 0.239 | 0.275 | 0.409 | 0.524 | 0.594 | 0.709 | 0.851 | 1.046 |
| 1993 | 0.011 | 0.089 | 0.121 | 0.181 | 0.246 | 0.320 | 0.408 | 0.579 | 0.719 | 0.853 | 0.965 | 1.174 |
| 1994 | 0.041 | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.224 | 0.270 | 0.336 | 0.462 | 0.643 | 0.808 | 0.868 | 1.058 | 1.421 |
| 1995 | 0.070 | 0.098 | 0.145 | 0.192 | 0.270 | 0.340 | 0.429 | 0.577 | 0.807 | 0.965 | 1.115 | 1.367 |
| 1996 | 0.061 | 0.092 | 0.151 | 0.191 | 0.280 | 0.352 | 0.524 | 0.683 | 0.945 | 1.216 | 1.426 | 1.477 |
| 1997 | 0.104 | 0.106 | 0.146 | 0.201 | 0.260 | 0.355 | 0.495 | 0.683 | 0.884 | 1.088 | 1.467 | 1.647 |
| 1998 | 0.084 | 0.128 | 0.138 | 0.178 | 0.248 | 0.340 | 0.545 | 0.806 | 1.035 | 1.246 | 1.412 | 1.655 |
| 1999 | 0.090 | 0.109 | 0.134 | 0.174 | 0.250 | 0.331 | 0.465 | 0.742 | 1.021 | 1.258 | 1.376 | 1.776 |
| 2000 | 0.043 | 0.064 | 0.163 | 0.196 | 0.255 | 0.346 | 0.466 | 0.756 | 0.999 | 1.141 | 1.228 | 1.563 |
| 2001 | 0.066 | 0.098 | 0.122 | 0.179 | 0.258 | 0.325 | 0.461 | 0.614 | 0.828 | 1.074 | 1.360 | 1.671 |
| 2002 | 0.031 | 0.074 | 0.130 | 0.200 | 0.257 | 0.329 | 0.445 | 0.645 | 0.883 | 1.102 | 1.321 | 1.649 |
| 2003 | 0.036 | 0.086 | 0.117 | 0.186 | 0.245 | 0.307 | 0.400 | 0.564 | 0.768 | 1.005 | 1.209 | 1.537 |
| 2004 | 0.034 | 0.080 | 0.158 | 0.193 | 0.247 | 0.307 | 0.387 | 0.528 | 0.700 | 0.897 | 1.087 | 1.541 |
| 2005 | 0.029 | 0.075 | 0.113 | 0.196 | 0.259 | 0.318 | 0.399 | 0.517 | 0.641 | 0.767 | 0.918 | 1.296 |
| 2006 | 0.033 | 0.076 | 0.116 | 0.141 | 0.261 | 0.350 | 0.419 | 0.516 | 0.631 | 0.752 | 0.924 | 1.263 |
| 2007 | 0.086 | 0.074 | 0.121 | 0.172 | 0.226 | 0.331 | 0.431 | 0.510 | 0.621 | 0.756 | 0.903 | 1.177 |
| 2008 | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.069 | 0.186 | 0.254 | 0.312 | 0.416 | 0.515 | 0.605 | 0.719 | 0.861 | 1.148 |
| 2009 | 0.014 | 0.045 | 0.109 | 0.142 | 0.253 | 0.330 | 0.411 | 0.532 | 0.625 | 0.764 | 0.886 | 1.144 |
| 2010 | 0.014 | 0.052 | 0.101 | 0.175 | 0.237 | 0.313 | 0.415 | 0.539 | 0.649 | 0.787 | 0.964 | 1.473 |
| 2011 | 0.019 | 0.067 | 0.101 | 0.190 | 0.287 | 0.353 | 0.466 | 0.613 | 0.774 | 0.923 | 1.173 | 1.514 |
| 2012 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.082 | 0.202 | 0.264 | 0.353 | 0.476 | 0.558 | 0.711 | 0.912 | 1.146 | 1.600 |
| 2013 | 0.052 | 0.125 | 0.268 | 0.263 | 0.310 | 0.362 | 0.431 | 0.507 | 0.678 | 0.726 | 0.936 | 1.143 |

Table A5.12. Input mean body mass (kg) at age over time assumed for fleet 3.

| Fleet 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0.034 | 0.136 | 0.310 | 0.540 | 0.808 | 1.095 | 1.387 | 1.674 | 1.946 | 2.201 | 2.434 | 2.645 |
| 1976 | 0.044 | 0.160 | 0.340 | 0.567 | 0.822 | 1.087 | 1.351 | 1.606 | 1.845 | 2.065 | 2.266 | 2.446 |
| 1977 | 0.032 | 0.130 | 0.294 | 0.510 | 0.760 | 1.028 | 1.300 | 1.566 | 1.818 | 2.054 | 2.270 | 2.465 |
| 1978 | 0.032 | 0.129 | 0.295 | 0.516 | 0.774 | 1.050 | 1.332 | 1.608 | 1.872 | 2.117 | 2.343 | 2.547 |
| 1979 | 0.036 | 0.138 | 0.304 | 0.518 | 0.762 | 1.020 | 1.280 | 1.532 | 1.770 | 1.991 | 2.193 | 2.375 |
| 1980 | 0.036 | 0.136 | 0.298 | 0.506 | 0.743 | 0.994 | 1.245 | 1.490 | 1.721 | 1.934 | 2.130 | 2.306 |
| 1981 | 0.041 | 0.148 | 0.314 | 0.524 | 0.758 | 1.003 | 1.247 | 1.481 | 1.702 | 1.905 | 2.089 | 2.255 |
| 1982 | 0.039 | 0.144 | 0.309 | 0.519 | 0.755 | 1.002 | 1.249 | 1.488 | 1.712 | 1.920 | 2.108 | 2.278 |
| 1983 | 0.042 | 0.138 | 0.280 | 0.451 | 0.638 | 0.828 | 1.014 | 1.191 | 1.356 | 1.507 | 1.643 | 1.764 |
| 1984 | 0.044 | 0.156 | 0.328 | 0.541 | 0.778 | 1.024 | 1.267 | 1.501 | 1.719 | 1.921 | 2.103 | 2.267 |
| 1985 | 0.040 | 0.149 | 0.322 | 0.541 | 0.789 | 1.048 | 1.308 | 1.558 | 1.794 | 2.012 | 2.211 | 2.389 |
| 1986 | 0.042 | 0.151 | 0.323 | 0.539 | 0.781 | 1.033 | 1.285 | 1.527 | 1.755 | 1.965 | 2.156 | 2.327 |
| 1987 | 0.034 | 0.132 | 0.294 | 0.504 | 0.745 | 1.001 | 1.260 | 1.512 | 1.751 | 1.973 | 2.176 | 2.359 |
| 1988 | 0.038 | 0.145 | 0.315 | 0.533 | 0.780 | 1.041 | 1.302 | 1.554 | 1.793 | 2.013 | 2.215 | 2.396 |
| 1989 | 0.044 | 0.158 | 0.337 | 0.561 | 0.812 | 1.074 | 1.334 | 1.585 | 1.821 | 2.038 | 2.236 | 2.413 |
| 1990 | 0.042 | 0.150 | 0.320 | 0.532 | 0.769 | 1.017 | 1.263 | 1.499 | 1.722 | 1.927 | 2.113 | 2.280 |
| 1991 | 0.039 | 0.142 | 0.305 | 0.511 | 0.743 | 0.985 | 1.227 | 1.461 | 1.680 | 1.883 | 2.068 | 2.234 |
| 1992 | 0.040 | 0.148 | 0.318 | 0.534 | 0.776 | 1.031 | 1.286 | 1.531 | 1.763 | 1.976 | 2.171 | 2.346 |
| 1993 | 0.039 | 0.147 | 0.323 | 0.549 | 0.807 | 1.080 | 1.354 | 1.620 | 1.871 | 2.104 | 2.317 | 2.508 |
| 1994 | 0.036 | 0.147 | 0.335 | 0.584 | 0.874 | 1.186 | 1.503 | 1.813 | 2.109 | 2.385 | 2.638 | 2.867 |
| 1995 | 0.038 | 0.146 | 0.318 | 0.540 | 0.792 | 1.058 | 1.325 | 1.583 | 1.827 | 2.053 | 2.260 | 2.446 |
| 1996 | 0.038 | 0.145 | 0.317 | 0.537 | 0.788 | 1.053 | 1.318 | 1.576 | 1.820 | 2.045 | 2.251 | 2.436 |
| 1997 | 0.045 | 0.152 | 0.312 | 0.506 | 0.720 | 0.940 | 1.155 | 1.361 | 1.553 | 1.729 | 1.889 | 2.031 |
| 1998 | 0.040 | 0.140 | 0.294 | 0.483 | 0.693 | 0.911 | 1.126 | 1.333 | 1.526 | 1.703 | 1.864 | 2.008 |
| 1999 | 0.037 | 0.146 | 0.324 | 0.557 | 0.824 | 1.107 | 1.394 | 1.673 | 1.938 | 2.183 | 2.408 | 2.611 |
| 2000 | 0.035 | 0.145 | 0.336 | 0.592 | 0.893 | 1.218 | 1.550 | 1.877 | 2.189 | 2.481 | 2.750 | 2.994 |
| 2001 | 0.033 | 0.139 | 0.324 | 0.572 | 0.864 | 1.180 | 1.504 | 1.822 | 2.127 | 2.412 | 2.674 | 2.912 |
| 2002 | 0.036 | 0.145 | 0.330 | 0.576 | 0.861 | 1.167 | 1.478 | 1.783 | 2.074 | 2.344 | 2.593 | 2.817 |
| 2003 | 0.040 | 0.154 | 0.341 | 0.584 | 0.862 | 1.157 | 1.454 | 1.743 | 2.017 | 2.272 | 2.504 | 2.714 |
| 2004 | 0.038 | 0.149 | 0.333 | 0.574 | 0.852 | 1.148 | 1.447 | 1.740 | 2.017 | 2.275 | 2.511 | 2.724 |
| 2005 | 0.037 | 0.150 | 0.341 | 0.595 | 0.890 | 1.206 | 1.527 | 1.842 | 2.142 | 2.422 | 2.678 | 2.911 |
| 2006 | 0.038 | 0.152 | 0.347 | 0.606 | 0.907 | 1.230 | 1.558 | 1.880 | 2.187 | 2.473 | 2.735 | 2.973 |
| 2007 | 0.038 | 0.149 | 0.335 | 0.579 | 0.861 | 1.161 | 1.465 | 1.762 | 2.044 | 2.306 | 2.546 | 2.763 |
| 2008 | 0.036 | 0.146 | 0.334 | 0.585 | 0.876 | 1.190 | 1.510 | 1.823 | 2.122 | 2.400 | 2.656 | 2.888 |
| 2009 | 0.038 | 0.150 | 0.337 | 0.582 | 0.865 | 1.167 | 1.474 | 1.773 | 2.057 | 2.321 | 2.563 | 2.782 |
| 2010 | 0.039 | 0.150 | 0.332 | 0.567 | 0.837 | 1.123 | 1.411 | 1.691 | 1.956 | 2.203 | 2.428 | 2.631 |
| 2011 | 0.031 | 0.143 | 0.351 | 0.644 | 1.000 | 1.395 | 1.806 | 2.217 | 2.614 | 2.990 | 3.337 | 3.655 |
| 2012 | 0.032 | 0.145 | 0.349 | 0.632 | 0.971 | 1.344 | 1.731 | 2.115 | 2.485 | 2.834 | 3.156 | 3.449 |
| 2013 | 0.032 | 0.145 | 0.349 | 0.632 | 0.971 | 1.344 | 1.731 | 2.115 | 2.485 | 2.834 | 3.156 | 3.449 |

Table A5.13. Input mean body mass (kg) at age over time assumed for fleet 4.

| Fleet 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0.052 | 0.093 | 0.131 | 0.178 | 0.262 | 0.294 | 0.340 | 0.396 | 0.549 | 0.738 | 0.984 | 1.093 |
| 1976 | 0.052 | 0.078 | 0.155 | 0.214 | 0.275 | 0.336 | 0.394 | 0.472 | 0.632 | 0.714 | 0.898 | 1.538 |
| 1977 | 0.055 | 0.092 | 0.109 | 0.236 | 0.275 | 0.314 | 0.375 | 0.456 | 0.521 | 0.732 | 0.651 | 1.137 |
| 1978 | 0.052 | 0.084 | 0.104 | 0.147 | 0.211 | 0.327 | 0.394 | 0.449 | 0.514 | 0.583 | 0.631 | 1.538 |
| 1979 | 0.052 | 0.108 | 0.160 | 0.199 | 0.241 | 0.301 | 0.388 | 0.466 | 0.588 | 0.871 | 1.265 | 1.972 |
| 1980 | 0.026 | 0.060 | 0.132 | 0.231 | 0.272 | 0.350 | 0.447 | 0.519 | 0.716 | 0.820 | 1.073 | 1.854 |
| 1981 | 0.052 | 0.095 | 0.149 | 0.242 | 0.294 | 0.340 | 0.407 | 0.503 | 0.637 | 0.765 | 1.184 | 1.900 |
| 1982 | 0.055 | 0.085 | 0.166 | 0.207 | 0.269 | 0.323 | 0.378 | 0.472 | 0.536 | 0.644 | 0.987 | 1.185 |
| 1983 | 0.070 | 0.099 | 0.122 | 0.230 | 0.273 | 0.320 | 0.374 | 0.461 | 0.596 | 0.709 | 1.196 | 1.769 |
| 1984 | 0.035 | 0.135 | 0.154 | 0.185 | 0.266 | 0.330 | 0.383 | 0.449 | 0.577 | 0.685 | 1.012 | 1.846 |
| 1985 | 0.058 | 0.148 | 0.181 | 0.223 | 0.270 | 0.339 | 0.398 | 0.473 | 0.573 | 0.796 | 1.376 | 1.647 |
| 1986 | 0.073 | 0.075 | 0.172 | 0.247 | 0.286 | 0.346 | 0.427 | 0.518 | 0.640 | 0.844 | 1.351 | 2.110 |
| 1987 | 0.076 | 0.117 | 0.140 | 0.191 | 0.270 | 0.357 | 0.434 | 0.503 | 0.577 | 0.689 | 1.089 | 1.979 |
| 1988 | 0.100 | 0.124 | 0.159 | 0.197 | 0.233 | 0.342 | 0.444 | 0.512 | 0.588 | 0.750 | 1.012 | 1.372 |
| 1989 | 0.052 | 0.103 | 0.220 | 0.241 | 0.278 | 0.339 | 0.467 | 0.585 | 0.702 | 0.779 | 0.880 | 1.538 |
| 1990 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.153 | 0.264 | 0.309 | 0.373 | 0.461 | 0.582 | 0.694 | 0.835 | 0.970 | 1.598 |
| 1991 | 0.037 | 0.106 | 0.132 | 0.186 | 0.271 | 0.381 | 0.451 | 0.542 | 0.667 | 0.787 | 0.901 | 1.053 |
| 1992 | 0.063 | 0.083 | 0.118 | 0.177 | 0.239 | 0.275 | 0.409 | 0.524 | 0.594 | 0.709 | 0.851 | 1.046 |
| 1993 | 0.011 | 0.089 | 0.121 | 0.181 | 0.246 | 0.320 | 0.408 | 0.579 | 0.719 | 0.853 | 0.965 | 1.174 |
| 1994 | 0.041 | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.224 | 0.270 | 0.336 | 0.462 | 0.643 | 0.808 | 0.868 | 1.058 | 1.421 |
| 1995 | 0.070 | 0.098 | 0.145 | 0.192 | 0.270 | 0.340 | 0.429 | 0.577 | 0.807 | 0.965 | 1.115 | 1.367 |
| 1996 | 0.061 | 0.092 | 0.151 | 0.191 | 0.280 | 0.352 | 0.524 | 0.683 | 0.945 | 1.216 | 1.426 | 1.477 |
| 1997 | 0.104 | 0.106 | 0.146 | 0.201 | 0.260 | 0.355 | 0.495 | 0.683 | 0.884 | 1.088 | 1.467 | 1.647 |
| 1998 | 0.084 | 0.128 | 0.138 | 0.178 | 0.248 | 0.340 | 0.545 | 0.806 | 1.035 | 1.246 | 1.412 | 1.655 |
| 1999 | 0.090 | 0.109 | 0.134 | 0.174 | 0.250 | 0.331 | 0.465 | 0.742 | 1.021 | 1.258 | 1.376 | 1.776 |
| 2000 | 0.043 | 0.064 | 0.163 | 0.196 | 0.255 | 0.346 | 0.466 | 0.756 | 0.999 | 1.141 | 1.228 | 1.563 |
| 2001 | 0.066 | 0.098 | 0.122 | 0.179 | 0.258 | 0.325 | 0.461 | 0.614 | 0.828 | 1.074 | 1.360 | 1.671 |
| 2002 | 0.031 | 0.074 | 0.130 | 0.200 | 0.257 | 0.329 | 0.445 | 0.645 | 0.883 | 1.102 | 1.321 | 1.649 |
| 2003 | 0.036 | 0.086 | 0.117 | 0.186 | 0.245 | 0.307 | 0.400 | 0.564 | 0.768 | 1.005 | 1.209 | 1.537 |
| 2004 | 0.034 | 0.080 | 0.158 | 0.193 | 0.247 | 0.307 | 0.387 | 0.528 | 0.700 | 0.897 | 1.087 | 1.541 |
| 2005 | 0.029 | 0.075 | 0.113 | 0.196 | 0.259 | 0.318 | 0.399 | 0.517 | 0.641 | 0.767 | 0.918 | 1.296 |
| 2006 | 0.033 | 0.076 | 0.116 | 0.141 | 0.261 | 0.350 | 0.419 | 0.516 | 0.631 | 0.752 | 0.924 | 1.263 |
| 2007 | 0.086 | 0.074 | 0.121 | 0.172 | 0.226 | 0.331 | 0.431 | 0.510 | 0.621 | 0.756 | 0.903 | 1.177 |
| 2008 | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.069 | 0.186 | 0.254 | 0.312 | 0.416 | 0.515 | 0.605 | 0.719 | 0.861 | 1.148 |
| 2009 | 0.014 | 0.045 | 0.109 | 0.142 | 0.253 | 0.330 | 0.411 | 0.532 | 0.625 | 0.764 | 0.886 | 1.144 |
| 2010 | 0.014 | 0.052 | 0.101 | 0.175 | 0.237 | 0.313 | 0.415 | 0.539 | 0.649 | 0.787 | 0.964 | 1.473 |
| 2011 | 0.019 | 0.067 | 0.101 | 0.190 | 0.287 | 0.353 | 0.466 | 0.613 | 0.774 | 0.923 | 1.173 | 1.514 |
| 2012 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.082 | 0.202 | 0.264 | 0.353 | 0.476 | 0.558 | 0.711 | 0.912 | 1.146 | 1.600 |
| 2013 | 0.052 | 0.125 | 0.268 | 0.263 | 0.310 | 0.362 | 0.431 | 0.507 | 0.678 | 0.726 | 0.936 | 1.143 |

Table A5.14. Years and types of information used in the JJM assessment models.

| Fleet | Catch-at-age | Catch-at-length | Landings | CPUE | Acoustic | DEPM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Chile purse seine | 1975-2012 | - | 1970-2013 | - | Index: 1984-1988; <br> 1991; 2006-2012 <br> Age comps: 2006- <br> 2012 | Index: 19992008 <br> Age comps: <br> 2001-2008 |
| South-central Chile purse seine | 1975-2013 | - | 1970-2013 | 1983-2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1997-2009 } \\ \text { Age comps: 1997- } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| FarNorth | - | 1980-2012 | 1970-2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2002-2009, 2011- } \\ 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1983-2013 | - |
| International trawl off Chile | 1979-1991 | 2007-2013* | 1978-2013 | China (2001-2012); <br> EU \& Vanuatu (2006- <br> 2013); Russian (1987- <br> 1991, 2008-09, 2011) | - | - |

[^0]Table A5.15. Symbols and definitions used for model equations.

| General Definitions | Symbol/Value | Use in Catch at Age Model |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Year index: $i=\{1970, \ldots, 2013\}$ | $i$ |  |
| Age index: $j=\left\{1,2, \ldots, 12^{+}\right\}$ | $j$ |  |
| length index: $I=\{10,11, \ldots, 50\}$ | $L_{j}$ |  |
| Mean length at age | $c v$ |  |
| Variation coefficient the length at age | $W_{t, j}$ |  |
| Mean weight in year $t$ by age $j$ | Maxage | Selectivity parameterization |
| Maximum age beyond which selectivity | $M$ | Fixed $M=0.23$, constant over all ages |
| is constant | $p_{j}$ | Definition of spawning biomass |
| Instantaneous Natural Mortality | $\Gamma$ | Transform from age to length |
| Proportion females mature at age $j$ | $T_{i}$ | Scales multinomial assumption about estimates of |
| Proportion of length at some age |  | proportion at age |
| Sample size for proportion in year $i$ | $q^{s}$ | Prior distribution = lognormal( $\left.\mu_{q}^{s}, \sigma_{q}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $R_{0}$ | Unfished equilibrium recruitment |
| Survey catchability coefficient | $h$ | Stock-recruitment steepness |
| Stock-recruitment parameters | $\sigma_{R}^{2}$ | Recruitment variance |
|  | $\varphi$ | Spawning biomass per recruit when there is not |
| Unfished biomass |  | fishing |
| Estimated parameters |  |  |
| $\phi_{i}(\#), R_{0}, h, \varepsilon_{i}(\#), \mu^{f}, \mu^{s}, M, \eta_{j}^{s}(\#), \eta_{j}^{f}(\#), q^{s}(\#)$ |  |  |

Note that the number of selectivity parameters estimated depends on the model configuration.

Table A5.16. Variables and equations describing implementation of the joint jack mackerel assessment model (JJM).

Eq Description
1)

Survey abundance index (s) by year ( $\Delta^{s}$ represents the fraction of the year when the survey occurs)
2)

Catch biomass by year and age/length
3) Proportion at age j, in year i

Proportion at length I, in year i
4) Initial numbers at age
5)
6)
7) Subsequent years ( $\mathrm{i}>1970$ )
8)
9)
10) Year effect and individuals at age 1 and $i=1958, \ldots, 2013$
$\varepsilon_{i}, \sum_{i=1958}^{2013} \varepsilon_{i}=0 \quad N_{i, 1}=e^{\mu_{R}+\varepsilon_{i}}$
11) Index catchability

Mean effect
Age effect
Symbol/Constraints Key Equation(s)
$I_{i}^{s}$
$\hat{C}_{i l}, \hat{C}_{i j}$
$\hat{C}_{i l}=\Gamma_{l, j} \hat{C}_{i j}$
$\sigma_{j}=c v L_{j}$
$P_{i l}, \sum_{l=10}^{50} P_{i l}=1.0 \quad P_{i l}=\frac{C_{i l}}{\sum_{l=10}^{50} C_{i l}}$
$I_{i}^{s}=q^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{12} N_{i j} W_{i j} S_{j}^{s} e^{-\Delta^{s} Z_{i j}}$
$\hat{C}_{i j}^{f}=\sum_{j=1}^{12} N_{i j} W_{i j} \frac{F_{i j}^{f}}{Z_{i j}}\left(1-e^{-Z_{i j}}\right)$
$\Gamma_{l, j}=\int_{j}^{j+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{j}^{2}}\left(l-L_{j}\right)^{2}} d l$
$L_{j}=L_{00}\left(1-e^{-k}\right)+e^{-k} L_{j-1}$
$P_{i j}, \sum_{j=1}^{12} P_{i j}=1.0 \quad p_{i j}^{f}=\frac{\hat{C}_{i j}^{f}}{\sum_{j} \hat{C}_{i j}^{f}} p_{i j}^{s}=\frac{N_{i j} S_{j}^{s} e^{-\Delta^{s} Z_{i j}}}{\sum_{j} N_{i j} S_{j}^{s} e^{-\Delta^{s} Z_{i j}}}$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{j}=1 & N_{1970, j}=e^{\mu_{R}+\varepsilon_{1970}} \\
1<\mathrm{j}<11 & N_{1970, j}=e^{\mu_{R}+\varepsilon_{i 917-j}} \prod_{j=1}^{j} e^{-M} \\
\mathrm{j}=12+ & N_{1970,12}=N_{1970,11}\left(1-e^{-M}\right)^{-1} \\
\mathrm{j}=1 & N_{i, 1}=e^{\mu_{R}+\varepsilon_{i}} \\
1<\mathrm{j}<11 & N_{i, j}=N_{i-1, j-1} e^{-Z_{i-1, j-1}} \\
\mathrm{j}=12+ & N_{i, 12^{+}}=N_{i-1,11} e^{-Z_{i-1,10}}+N_{i-1,12} e^{-Z_{i-1,11}}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
q_{i}^{s}=e^{\mu^{s}} & \\
\mu_{j}^{s}, \mu^{f} & =e^{\eta_{j}^{s}} & j \leq \operatorname{maxage} \\
\eta_{j}^{s}, \sum_{j=1958}^{2013} \eta_{j}^{s}=0 & s_{j}^{s}=e^{\eta_{\text {maxage }}^{s}} & j>\operatorname{maxage}
\end{array}
$$

Table A5.16. (continued) Variables and equations describing implementation of the joint jack mackerel assessment model (JJM).

| Eq | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12) | Instantaneous fishing mortality |
| 13) Mean fishing effect |  |
| 14) | Annual effect of fishing mortality in |
| year i |  |

> | Symbol/Constraints | Key Equation(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $F_{i j}^{f}=e^{\mu^{f}+\eta_{j}^{f}+\phi_{i}}$ |

$$
\varphi_{i}, \sum_{i=1970}^{\mu^{f}} \varphi_{i}=0
$$

15) 

age effect of fishing (regularized) In year time variation allowed

$$
\eta_{j^{\prime}}^{f} \sum_{j=1958}^{2013} \eta^{f}{ }_{j}=0 \quad \begin{array}{rl}
s_{i j}^{f}=e^{\eta_{j}^{f}} & j \leq \text { maxage } \\
s_{i j}^{f}=e^{\eta_{\text {maxage }}^{f}} & j>\operatorname{maxage}
\end{array}
$$

In years where selectivity is constant over time

$$
\eta_{i, j}^{f}=\eta_{i-1, j}^{f} \quad i \neq \text { change year }
$$

16) Natural Mortality
17) Total mortality
18) Spawning biomass (note spawning taken to occur at mid of November)
19) Recruitments (Beverton-Holt form) at age 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M fixed } \\
& Z_{i j}=\sum_{f} F_{i j}^{f}+M \\
& B_{i} \\
& B_{i}=\sum_{j=2}^{12} N_{i j} e^{-\frac{10,5}{12} Z_{i j}} W_{i j} p_{j} \\
& \tilde{R}_{i} \quad \tilde{R}_{i}=\frac{\alpha B_{t}}{\beta+B_{i}}, \\
& \alpha=\frac{4 h R_{0}}{5 h-1} \text { and } \beta=\frac{B_{0}(1-h)}{5 h-1} \text { where } \mathrm{h}=0.8 \\
& B_{0}=R_{0} \varphi \\
& \varphi=\sum_{j=1}^{12} e^{-M(j-1)} W_{j} p_{j}+\frac{e^{-12 M} W_{12} p_{12}}{1-e^{-M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A5.17. Specification of objective function that is minimized (i.e., the penalized negative of the log-likelihood).

|  | Likelihood /penalty component |  | Description / notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19) | Abundance indices | $L_{1}=0.5 \sum_{s} \frac{1}{c v_{s}^{2}} \sum_{j} \log \left(\frac{I_{j}}{\hat{I}_{j}}\right)^{2}$ | Surveys / CPUE indexes |
| 20) | Prior on smoothness for selectivities | $L_{2}=\sum_{l} \lambda_{2}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{12}\left(\eta_{j+2}^{l}+\eta_{j}^{l}-2 \eta_{j+1}^{l}\right)^{2}$ | Smoothness (second differencing), Note: $l=\{s$, or $f\}$ for survey and fishery selectivity |
| 21) | Prior on recruitment regularity | $L_{3}=\lambda_{3} \sum_{j=1958}^{2013} \varepsilon^{2}{ }_{j}$ | Influences estimates where data are lacking (e.g., if no signal of recruitment strength is available, then the recruitment estimate will converge to median value). |
| 22) | Catch biomass likelihood | $L_{4}=0.5 \sum_{f} \frac{1}{c v_{f}^{2}} \sum_{j=1970}^{2013} \log \left(\frac{C_{j}^{f}}{\hat{C}_{j}{ }_{j}}\right)^{2}$ | Fit to catch biomass in each year |
| 23) | Proportion at age/length likelihood | $L_{5}=-\sum_{v, i, j} n^{v} P_{i, j / l}^{v} \log \left(\hat{P}_{i, j / l}^{v}\right)$ | $v=\{s, f\}$ for survey and fishery age composition observations $P_{i, j / l}$ are the catch-at-age/length proportions n effective sample size |
| 24) | Fishing mortality regularity | F values constrained between 0 and 5 | (relaxed in final phases of estimation) |
| 25) | Recruitment curve fit | $L_{6}=\frac{0.5}{c v_{r}^{2}} \sum_{j=1970}^{2013} \log \left(\frac{N_{i, 1}}{\tilde{R}_{i}}\right)^{2}$ | Conditioning on stock-recruitment curve over period 1977-2012. |
| 26) | Priors or assumptions | $\boldsymbol{R}_{0}$ non-informative | (Explored alternative values of $\sigma_{R}^{2}$ ) |
| 27) | Overall objective function to be minimized | $\dot{L}=\sum_{k} L_{k}$ |  |

Table A5.18. Coefficients of variation and sample sizes used in likelihood functions.

| Abundance index | CV | Catch biomass likelihood | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Acoustic CS- Chile | 0.20 | N-Chile | 0.05 |
| Acoustic N-Chile | 0.50 | CS- Chile | 0.05 |
| CPUE - Chile | 0.15 | Farnorth | 0.05 |
| DEPM - Chile | 0.50 | Offshore | 0.05 |
| Acoustic-Peru | 0.20 |  |  |
| CPUE - Peru | 0.20 |  |  |
| CPUE- China | 0.20 |  | n |
| CPUE-EU | 0.20 |  | 30 |
| CPUE- ex USSR | 0.40 |  | 30 |
| Smoothness for selectivities | $\lambda$ | Proportion at age |  |
| (indexes) | 100 | Acoustic CS- Chile | 20 |
| Acoustic CS- Chile | 100 | Acoustic N-Chile |  |
| Acoustic N-Chile | 100 | DEPM - Chile |  |
| CPUE - Chile | 100 |  | n |
| CPUE- China | 100 |  | 20 |
| CPUE-EU | 100 |  | 50 |
| CPUE ex-USSR |  | Proportion at age | 30 |
| Smoothness for selectivities | $\lambda$ | likelihood | 30 |
| (fleets) | 1 | N-Chile |  |
| N-Chile | 25 | CS- Chile | cv |
| CS- Chile | 12.5 | Farnorth | 0.7 |
| Farnorth | 12.5 | Offshore |  |
| Offshore | $\lambda$ | S-Recruitment curve fit |  |
| Recruitment regularity | 1.4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A5.19. Description of JJM model components and how selectivity was treated (Far North Stock).

| Item | Description | Selectivity assumption |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fisheries |  | Estimated from length composition data <br> (converted to age inside the model). Two time- <br> blocks were considered, before and after 2002. |
| 1) | Peruvian and Ecuadorian area fishery | Completely available since 3 yrs old. |
| Index series <br> 2) | Acoustic survey in Peru | Assumed to be the same as 1) |
| 3) | Peruvian fishery CPUE |  |

Table A5.20. Description of JJM model components and how selectivity was treated (South stock).

| Item | Description | Selectivity assumption |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fisheries |  |  |
| 1) | Chilean northern area fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual |
|  |  | variations were considered since 1984 |
| 2) | Chilean central and southern area fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual <br> variations were considered since 1984. |
| 3) | Offshore trawl fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual |
|  |  | variations were considered since 1984. |
|  |  |  |
| Index series |  |  |
| 4) | Acoustic survey in central and southern Chile | Estimated from age composition data. Two |
|  |  | time-blocks were considered 1970-2004; 2005- |
| 5) | Acoustic survey in northern Chile | 2013. |
|  |  | Estimated from age composition data. Annual |
| 6) | Central and southern fishery CPUE | variations were considered since 1984. |
| 7) | Egg production survey | Assumed to be the same as 2) |
|  |  | Estimated from age composition data. Two time- |
| 8) | Chinese fleet CPUE (from FAO workshop) | Assumed to be the same as 3) |
| 9) | Vanuatu \& EU fleets CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 3) |
| 10) | ex-USSR CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 3) but for earlier |
|  |  | period |

Table A5.21. Description of JJM model components and how selectivity was treated for the single stock cases.

| Item | Description | Selectivity assumption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fisheries |  |  |
| 1) | Chilean northern area fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual variations were considered since 1984 |
| 2) | Chilean central and southern area fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual variations were considered since 1984. |
| 3) | Peruvian and Ecuadorian area fishery | Estimated from length composition data (converted to age inside the model). Two timeblocks were considered, before and after 2002. |
| 4) | Offshore trawl fishery | Estimated from age composition data. Annual variations were considered since 1984. |
| Index series |  |  |
| 5) | Acoustic survey in central and southern Chile | Estimated from age composition data. Two time-blocks were considered 1970-2004; 20052013. |
| 6) | Acoustic survey in northern Chile | Estimated from age composition data. Annual variations were considered since 1984. |
| 7) | Central and southern fishery CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 2) |
| 8) | Egg production survey | Estimated from age composition data. Two timeblocks were considered 1970-2002; 2003-2012. |
| 9) | Acoustic survey in Peru | Completely available since 3 yrs old. |
| 10) | Peruvian fishery CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 3) |
| 11) | Chinese fleet CPUE (from FAO workshop) | Assumed to be the same as 4) |
| 12) | Vanuatu \& EU fleets CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 4) |
| 13) | ex-USSR CPUE | Assumed to be the same as 4) but for earlier period |

Table A5.22. Systematic model progression from the 2012 assessment data to the agreed revised datasets for 2013. Note that the data file names corresponding to each model follow the convention e.g., "Mod0.1.dat" and "Mod0.1.ctl".

| Model | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0.0 | 2012 configuration and data (Model 7c from the 2012 assessment) |
| 0.1 | As in Model 0.0 but with the updated catch time series (through 2012 only) |
| 0.2 | As in Model 0.1 but with the revised mean weights-at-age provided by Chile |
| 0.3 | As in Model 0.2 but extended to 2013 (estimated end-year catches) and with the updated |
|  | Chilean indices and age compositions |
| 0.4 | As in Model 0.3 but with the all other updated indices and age compositions |
| 1.1 | As in 0.4 Chilean SC CPUE q change in 2012 and SC Acoustic q step 2002+ |
| 1.2 | As in 1.1 Peruvian acoustic q change from 1994, and again in 1997 |
| 1.3 | As in 1.2 but with time-varying selectivity for the SC Chilean fleet |
| 1.4 | As 1.3 add time-varying for other fleets |
| 1.5 | As 1.4 but SigmaR = 1.0 |
| 1.6 | As 1.2 but down weight offshore trawl composition data by a factor of 10 |
| 1.7 | As 1.2 but down weight all indices (and their age or length comps) except Chinese CPUE, SC |
| Chilean CPUE, and SC Acoustics, and downweight offshore age compositions |  |

Table A5．23．Comparison of jack mackerel models by contributions from negative log－likelihood components based on data and model conditioned priors for one stock hypothesis model（0．0－1．9）and the two－stock hypothesis（2．1－2．9）．Some rows are not comparable across all models due to different input data and model assumptions．

| Model |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{㐅} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\underline{1}}{ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芌 } \\ & \stackrel{訁}{U} \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{x} \end{aligned}$ | 흔 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 378 | 614 | 467 | 522 | 133 | 1，737 | 75 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 125 | 1，863 |
| 0.1 | 378 | 614 | 467 | 518 | 132 | 1，732 | 75 | 25 | 26 | 0 | 125 | 1，859 |
| 0.2 | 378 | 616 | 466 | 534 | 132 | 1，750 | 74 | 26 | 25 | 0 | 125 | 1，877 |
| 0.3 | 383 | 634 | 471 | 571 | 229 | 1，907 | 76 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 124 | 2，032 |
| 0.4 | 383 | 645 | 534 | 702 | 236 | 2，119 | 74 | 28 | 30 | 0 | 131 | 2，251 |
| 1.1 | 385 | 630 | 534 | 678 | 233 | 2，078 | 74 | 24 | 32 | 0 | 130 | 2，208 |
| 1.2 | 387 | 611 | 528 | 405 | 224 | 1，772 | 71 | 23 | 17 | 0 | 112 | 1，885 |
| 1.3 | 657 | 521 | 525 | 374 | 219 | 1，640 | 100 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 140 | 1，781 |
| 1.4 | 1，333 | 384 | 422 | 296 | 184 | 1，286 | 271 | 21 | 27 | 0 | 320 | 1，607 |
| 1.5 | 1，333 | 365 | 421 | 294 | 183 | 1，264 | 268 | 21 | 52 | 0 | 341 | 1，606 |
| 1.6 | 387 | 430 | 522 | 385 | 227 | 1，568 | 65 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 93 | 1，662 |
| 1.7 | 387 | 410 | 508 | 133 | 112 | 1，165 | 67 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 90 | 1，257 |
| 1.8 | 388 | 574 | 532 | 398 | 227 | 1，734 | 68 | 25 | 3 | 15 | 110 | 1，845 |
| 1.9 | 387 | 119 | 61 | 229 | 43 | 454 | 34 | 4 | －2 | 0 | 36 | 491 |
| 2.1 | 449 | 583 | 417 | 359 | 221 | 1，587 | 84 | 22 | 53 | 0 | 159 | 1，745 |
| 2.2 | 451 | 583 | 400 | 362 | 221 | 1，571 | 84 | 22 | 41 | 0 | 147 | 1，732 |
| 2.3 | 721 | 496 | 400 | 328 | 215 | 1，441 | 111 | 21 | 42 | 0 | 174 | 1，629 |
| 2.4 | 1383 | 368 | 424 | 270 | 188 | 1，253 | 255 | 21 | 48 | 0 | 324 | 1，597 |
| 2.5 | 1383 | 349 | 417 | 261 | 187 | 1，217 | 251 | 21 | 94 | 0 | 366 | 1，602 |
| 2.6 | 451 | 408 | 400 | 342 | 225 | 1，379 | 78 | 23 | 22 | 0 | 123 | 1，516 |
| 2.7 | 451 | 391 | 400 | 290 | 113 | 1，198 | 79 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 117 | 1，328 |
| 2.8 | 453 | 542 | 405 | 321 | 225 | 1，497 | 79 | 24 | 31 | 28 | 162 | 1，679 |
| 2.9 | 451 | 583 | 59 | 324 | 221 | 1，193 | 73 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 119 | 1，327 |

Table A5.24. Estimated begin-year numbers at age (Model 4.1), 1970-2013.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 8,168 | 5,357 | 3,437 | 1,941 | 1,467 | 1,237 | 1,046 | 915 | 801 | 699 | 607 | 5,516 |
| 1971 | 5,538 | 6,488 | 4,254 | 2,725 | 1,537 | 1,156 | 957 | 784 | 700 | 629 | 550 | 4,819 |
| 1972 | 10,264 | 4,398 | 5,150 | 3,368 | 2,153 | 1,204 | 880 | 692 | 587 | 547 | 493 | 4,203 |
| 1973 | 9,007 | 8,150 | 3,488 | 4,068 | 2,663 | 1,695 | 930 | 656 | 523 | 460 | 430 | 3,697 |
| 1974 | 9,176 | 7,145 | 6,451 | 2,737 | 3,202 | 2,086 | 1,295 | 678 | 487 | 408 | 361 | 3,241 |
| 1975 | 20,605 | 7,260 | 5,621 | 4,957 | 2,128 | 2,487 | 1,565 | 909 | 496 | 377 | 318 | 2,806 |
| 1976 | 22,311 | 16,350 | 5,750 | 4,418 | 3,894 | 1,651 | 1,840 | 1,063 | 648 | 382 | 293 | 2,425 |
| 1977 | 19,023 | 17,698 | 12,940 | 4,507 | 3,461 | 3,003 | 1,200 | 1,201 | 736 | 495 | 295 | 2,098 |
| 1978 | 25,202 | 14,953 | 13,708 | 9,439 | 3,407 | 2,636 | 2,162 | 773 | 812 | 558 | 381 | 1,841 |
| 1979 | 18,760 | 19,885 | 11,684 | 10,294 | 7,196 | 2,550 | 1,767 | 1,170 | 456 | 595 | 419 | 1,666 |
| 1980 | 23,647 | 14,819 | 15,579 | 8,852 | 7,880 | 5,364 | 1,650 | 821 | 567 | 320 | 431 | 1,511 |
| 1981 | 29,275 | 18,665 | 11,590 | 11,737 | 6,781 | 5,943 | 3,606 | 858 | 453 | 408 | 237 | 1,435 |
| 1982 | 32,636 | 23,134 | 14,596 | 8,664 | 8,940 | 5,017 | 3,747 | 1,612 | 409 | 312 | 295 | 1,210 |
| 1983 | 21,399 | 25,842 | 18,266 | 11,330 | 6,631 | 6,363 | 2,723 | 1,120 | 533 | 251 | 204 | 984 |
| 1984 | 59,365 | 16,983 | 20,452 | 14,261 | 8,782 | 4,872 | 3,798 | 1,013 | 413 | 327 | 163 | 770 |
| 1985 | 65,161 | 47,103 | 13,404 | 15,811 | 10,796 | 6,147 | 2,626 | 1,082 | 294 | 210 | 179 | 512 |
| 1986 | 18,032 | 51,720 | 37,273 | 10,465 | 12,035 | 7,622 | 3,387 | 823 | 327 | 141 | 114 | 374 |
| 1987 | 21,390 | 14,321 | 41,016 | 29,399 | 8,135 | 8,933 | 4,800 | 1,343 | 270 | 152 | 76 | 263 |
| 1988 | 28,051 | 16,979 | 11,327 | 32,082 | 22,441 | 5,940 | 5,699 | 1,938 | 398 | 100 | 66 | 148 |
| 1989 | 15,902 | 22,234 | 13,266 | 8,718 | 24,153 | 15,925 | 3,742 | 2,636 | 568 | 112 | 32 | 69 |
| 1990 | 29,905 | 12,601 | 17,387 | 10,150 | 6,581 | 17,291 | 10,110 | 1,934 | 1,008 | 171 | 32 | 29 |
| 1991 | 19,766 | 23,699 | 9,893 | 13,269 | 7,589 | 4,767 | 11,514 | 5,751 | 846 | 333 | 45 | 16 |
| 1992 | 26,053 | 15,671 | 18,647 | 7,593 | 9,878 | 5,484 | 3,232 | 6,746 | 2,529 | 268 | 85 | 16 |
| 1993 | 16,993 | 20,659 | 12,331 | 14,311 | 5,613 | 7,000 | 3,691 | 1,979 | 3,245 | 687 | 55 | 21 |
| 1994 | 19,189 | 13,467 | 16,145 | 9,252 | 10,184 | 3,775 | 4,465 | 2,204 | 1,017 | 1,227 | 128 | 14 |
| 1995 | 31,913 | 15,202 | 10,512 | 11,978 | 6,349 | 6,152 | 2,068 | 2,252 | 896 | 271 | 196 | 23 |
| 1996 | 19,726 | 25,199 | 11,607 | 7,129 | 6,897 | 2,748 | 2,334 | 740 | 685 | 188 | 39 | 32 |
| 1997 | 30,763 | 15,532 | 18,925 | 7,492 | 3,312 | 1,992 | 782 | 718 | 224 | 175 | 43 | 16 |
| 1998 | 24,150 | 24,223 | 11,592 | 11,721 | 2,147 | 563 | 492 | 263 | 247 | 69 | 49 | 17 |
| 1999 | 33,815 | 18,938 | 18,079 | 8,056 | 4,931 | 752 | 249 | 251 | 137 | 122 | 32 | 31 |
| 2000 | 40,793 | 26,694 | 14,491 | 13,066 | 4,279 | 2,415 | 420 | 151 | 155 | 81 | 69 | 35 |
| 2001 | 11,633 | 32,129 | 20,234 | 10,680 | 8,204 | 2,290 | 1,408 | 263 | 96 | 94 | 47 | 59 |
| 2002 | 20,966 | 9,021 | 22,789 | 14,080 | 6,717 | 4,121 | 1,228 | 831 | 158 | 54 | 48 | 54 |
| 2003 | 5,005 | 16,534 | 6,953 | 17,137 | 9,545 | 3,788 | 2,352 | 739 | 494 | 84 | 25 | 47 |
| 2004 | 7,813 | 3,931 | 12,606 | 5,127 | 11,972 | 5,823 | 2,200 | 1,438 | 444 | 259 | 36 | 30 |
| 2005 | 4,962 | 6,146 | 3,022 | 9,272 | 3,566 | 7,700 | 3,359 | 1,288 | 835 | 221 | 106 | 27 |
| 2006 | 10,241 | 3,903 | 4,705 | 2,186 | 6,469 | 2,387 | 4,627 | 1,937 | 730 | 421 | 92 | 56 |
| 2007 | 6,070 | 8,020 | 2,868 | 3,158 | 1,436 | 4,317 | 1,455 | 2,505 | 994 | 322 | 164 | 58 |
| 2008 | 3,970 | 4,767 | 6,018 | 1,885 | 1,890 | 875 | 2,568 | 773 | 1,128 | 359 | 102 | 71 |
| 2009 | 10,609 | 3,128 | 3,627 | 3,986 | 1,129 | 1,155 | 500 | 1,368 | 351 | 436 | 114 | 55 |
| 2010 | 10,823 | 8,388 | 2,420 | 2,608 | 2,495 | 630 | 565 | 229 | 482 | 110 | 111 | 43 |
| 2011 | 5,493 | 8,564 | 6,482 | 1,790 | 1,730 | 1,379 | 357 | 316 | 107 | 168 | 34 | 48 |
| 2012 | 7,750 | 4,328 | 6,380 | 4,635 | 1,310 | 1,211 | 915 | 231 | 198 | 58 | 84 | 41 |
| 2013 | 8,619 | 6,138 | 3,371 | 4,818 | 3,526 | 937 | 780 | 611 | 157 | 126 | 36 | 76 |
| Mean | 19,771 | 15,599 | 12,053 | 8,981 | 6,260 | 4,122 | 2,525 | 1,309 | 628 | 313 | 175 | 921 |

Table A5.25. Estimated total fishing mortality at age, 1970-2013.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.027 | 0.059 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 1971 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 0.043 | 0.095 | 0.059 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 1972 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.028 | 0.063 | 0.049 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 1973 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.039 | 0.086 | 0.068 | 0.019 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| 1974 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.057 | 0.123 | 0.082 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 1975 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.024 | 0.071 | 0.157 | 0.109 | 0.031 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.023 |
| 1976 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.030 | 0.089 | 0.196 | 0.137 | 0.039 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 |
| 1977 | 0.011 | 0.025 | 0.085 | 0.050 | 0.042 | 0.098 | 0.210 | 0.161 | 0.047 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.032 |
| 1978 | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.056 | 0.041 | 0.060 | 0.170 | 0.385 | 0.298 | 0.082 | 0.058 | 0.058 | 0.058 |
| 1979 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 0.047 | 0.037 | 0.064 | 0.205 | 0.536 | 0.494 | 0.123 | 0.092 | 0.092 | 0.092 |
| 1980 | 0.007 | 0.016 | 0.053 | 0.037 | 0.052 | 0.167 | 0.424 | 0.366 | 0.099 | 0.072 | 0.072 | 0.072 |
| 1981 | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.061 | 0.042 | 0.071 | 0.231 | 0.575 | 0.510 | 0.141 | 0.094 | 0.094 | 0.094 |
| 1982 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.023 | 0.037 | 0. | 0.381 | 0.97 | 0.877 | 0.258 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 |
| 1983 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.078 | 0.286 | 0.759 | 0.768 | 0.259 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.204 |
| 1984 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.027 | 0.048 | 0.127 | 0.388 | 1.026 | 1.006 | 0.445 | 0.371 | 0.371 | 0.371 |
| 1985 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 0.043 | 0.118 | 0.366 | 0.931 | 0.965 | 0.505 | 0.385 | 0.385 | 0.385 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.022 | 0.068 | 0.232 | 0.695 | 0.885 | 0.540 | 0.389 | 0.389 | 0.389 |
| 1987 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.040 | 0.084 | 0.219 | 0.677 | 0.986 | 0.759 | 0.596 | 0.596 | 0.596 |
| 1988 | 0.002 | 0.017 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.113 | 0.232 | 0.541 | 0.997 | 1.036 | 0.901 | 0.901 | 0.901 |
| 1989 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.038 | 0.051 | 0.104 | 0.224 | 0.430 | 0.731 | 0.969 | 1.011 | 1.011 | 1.011 |
| 1990 | 0.003 | 0.012 | 0.040 | 0.061 | 0.09 | 0. | 0.33 | 0.597 | 0.878 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| 1991 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.065 | 0.095 | 0.159 | 0.305 | 0.592 | 0.918 | 1.136 | 1.136 | 1.136 |
| 1992 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.072 | 0.114 | 0.166 | 0.261 | 0.502 | 1.074 | 1.359 | 1.359 | 1.359 |
| 1993 | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.057 | 0.110 | 0.167 | 0.220 | 0.285 | 0.436 | 0.742 | 1.452 | 1.452 | 1.452 |
| 1994 | 0.003 | 0.018 | 0.069 | 0.147 | 0.27 | 0.372 | 0.45 | 0.671 | 1.094 | 1.603 | 1.603 | 1.603 |
| 1995 | 0.006 | 0.040 | 0.158 | 0.322 | 0.607 | 0.739 | 0.797 | 0.961 | 1.333 | 1.699 | 1.699 | 1.699 |
| 1996 | 0.009 | 0.056 | 0.208 | 0.537 | 1.012 | 1.027 | 0.949 | 0.967 | 1.136 | 1.247 | 1.247 | 1.247 |
| 1997 | 0.009 | 0.063 | 0.249 | 1.020 | 1.542 | 1.168 | 0.859 | 0.836 | 0.949 | 1.035 | 1.035 | 1.035 |
| 1998 | 0.013 | 0.063 | 0.134 | 0.636 | 0.819 | 0.586 | 0.445 | 0.423 | 0.475 | 0.534 | 0.534 | 0.534 |
| 1999 | 0.006 | 0.038 | 0.095 | 0.403 | 0.484 | 0.353 | 0.269 | 0.252 | 0.290 | 0.345 | 0.345 | 0.345 |
| 2000 | 0.009 | 0.047 | 0.075 | 0.235 | 0.395 | 0.310 | 0.237 | 0.224 | 0.265 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.330 |
| 2001 | 0.024 | 0.113 | 0.133 | 0.234 | 0.458 | 0.393 | 0.297 | 0.280 | 0.342 | 0.436 | 0.436 | 0.436 |
| 2002 | 0.007 | 0.030 | 0.055 | 0.159 | 0.343 | 0.331 | 0.278 | 0.290 | 0.399 | 0.559 | 0.559 | 0.559 |
| 2003 | 0.012 | 0.041 | 0.075 | 0.129 | 0.264 | 0.313 | 0.262 | 0.280 | 0.414 | 0.621 | 0.621 | 0.621 |
| 2004 | 0.010 | 0.033 | 0.077 | 0.133 | 0.211 | 0.320 | 0.305 | 0.314 | 0.468 | 0.664 | 0.664 | 0.664 |
| 2005 | 0.010 | 0.037 | 0.094 | 0.130 | 0.171 | 0.279 | 0.321 | 0.337 | 0.456 | 0.643 | 0.643 | 0.643 |
| 2006 | 0.014 | 0.078 | 0.169 | 0.190 | 0.174 | 0.266 | 0.383 | 0.437 | 0.589 | 0.710 | 0.710 | 0.710 |
| 2007 | 0.012 | 0.057 | 0.190 | 0.284 | 0.266 | 0.289 | 0.402 | 0.568 | 0.787 | 0.915 | 0.915 | 0.915 |
| 2008 | 0.008 | 0.043 | 0.182 | 0.282 | 0.263 | 0.329 | 0.400 | 0.560 | 0.722 | 0.918 | 0.918 | 0.918 |
| 2009 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.100 | 0.239 | 0.353 | 0.485 | 0.553 | 0.813 | 0.928 | 1.135 | 1.135 | 1.135 |
| 2010 | 0.004 | 0.028 | 0.072 | 0.180 | 0.363 | 0.339 | 0.351 | 0.525 | 0.826 | 0.949 | 0.949 | 0.949 |
| 2011 | 0.008 | 0.064 | 0.105 | 0.082 | 0.127 | 0.180 | 0.206 | 0.238 | 0.379 | 0.464 | 0.464 | 0.464 |
| 2012 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.051 | 0.044 | 0.105 | 0.210 | 0.173 | 0.154 | 0.223 | 0.263 | 0.263 | 0.263 |
| 2013 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.094 | 0.175 | 0.134 | 0.121 | 0.197 | 0.242 | 0.242 | 0.242 |

Figures


Figure A5.1. Catch of jack mackerel by fleet. Green is the SC Chilean fleet, black is the offshore trawl fleet, red is the far-north fleet, and blue in the northern Chilean fleet.


Figure A5.2. Spawning biomass (top; in kt) and age one recruitment estimates (in millions) comparing model configurations 0.0-0.4.


Figure A5.3. Spawning biomass (top; in kt) and age one recruitment estimates (in millions) comparing model configurations 1.1-1.9 (model 1.8 is omitted since it was off the scale).

Model 1.4 vs 2.4



Figure A5.4. Spawning biomass (top; in $k t$ ) and age one recruitment estimates (in millions) comparing model configurations 1.4 and 2.4 (and the component models of 2.4).


Figure A5.5. JJM Model fit to the total catches ('000 tonnes) by fleet for Fleet 1 (N_Chile_PS), Fleet 2 (SC_Chile_PS ), Fleet 3 (Far_North) and Fleet 4 (Offshore_Trawl). The bars represent the observations and the line represents the predicted values.


Figure A5.6. Mean weights-at-age (kg) over time used for all data types in the JJM models. Different lines represent ages 1 to 12.


Figure A5.7. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the age compositions for the Chilean northern zone fishery (Fleet 1). Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.


Figure A5.8. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the age compositions for the South-Central Chilean purse seine fishery (Fleet 2). Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.

To Come
Figure A5.9. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the length compositions for the far north fishery (Fleet 3). Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.


Figure A5.10. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the age compositions for the offshore trawl fishery (Fleet 4). Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.


Figure A5.11. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the age compositions for the SC Chilean acoustic survey. Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.


Figure A5.12. Model fit (Model 4.1) to the age compositions for the $\mathbf{N}$ Chilean acoustic survey. Bars represent the observed data and dots represent the model fit and color codes correspond to cohorts.


Figure A5.13. Model fit (Model 4.1) to different indices. Vertical bars represent 2 standard deviations around the observations.


Figure A5.14. Mean age by year and fishery. Line represents the model and dots the observed values.


Figure A5.15. Mean age by year and survey. Line represents the model and dots the observed values.


Figure A5.16. Estimates of selectivity by fishery over time for Model 4.1.

## Selectivity of the survey by Pentad



Figure A5.17. Model 4.1) estimates of selectivity for each index over time.


Figure A5.18. Summary estimates over time showing total and spawning biomass (kt; top two panels), recruitment at age 1 (millions; third from top) total fishing mortality (fourth panel) and total catch (kt; bottom). Shaded areas represent the approximate $90 \%$ confidence bands.


Figure A5.19. Model 4.1 results showing mature and immature estimated components of the jack mackerel stock, 1970-2013.


Figure A5.20. Model 4.1 results the estimated total biomass (solid line) and the estimated total biomass that would have occurred if no fishing had taken place, 1970-2013.


Age
Figure A5.21. Jack mackerel estimated fishing mortality at age for Model 1.4.


Figure A5.22. Model 4.1 stock recruitment curve relative to model estimates of biomass and recruitment.


Figure A5.23. Jack mackerel stock recruitment estimates with two alternative steepness values and for models 4.1-4.4. Models 4.1 and 4.4 are estimated based on the whole time series whereas the other two are based on recruits and spawning levels from 2000-2011.


Figure A5.24. Jack mackerel population trajectories for different multipliers of the estimated 2013 fishing mortality rate under models 4.1 (top; stock recruitment steepness $=0.8$, recruitment from 1970-2011) and 4.3 (bottom; steepness $=0.65$, recruitment from 2000-2011). The horizontal line represents $B_{M S Y}$ (provisional target reference point).


[^0]:    (*)Are converted to age using age-length keys of central-southern area off Chile

