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## Executive summary

A description is presented of the fisheries carried out by vessels belonging to members of the Pelagic Freezer-trawler Association (PFA) within the SPRFMO area from 2016 to 2023. The Pelagic Freezertrawler Association (PFA) is an association that has nine member companies that together operate 18 (in 2022) freezer trawlers in six European countries (www.pelagicfish.eu). In 2015, the PFA has initiated a self-sampling program that expands the ongoing monitoring programs on board of pelagic freezer-trawlers aimed at assessing the quality of fish. The expansion in the self-sampling program consists of recording of haul information, recording the species compositions by haul and regularly taking length measurements from the catch. The self-sampling is carried out by the vessel quality managers on board of the vessels, who have a long experience in assessing the quality of fish, and by the skippers/officers with respect to the haul information. During the fisheries in the Pacific, the selfsampling program has been carried out during all trips and all hauls.

The self-sampling program delivers information on spatial and temporal evolution of the fishery, species and length compositions and ambient fishing conditions (temperature and depth). Catch distributions and length compositions by quarter and division are presented for jack mackerel (CJM), chub mackerel (MAS) and southern rays bream (BRU). No PFA fisheries was carried in the SPRFMO area in 2020, due to the global Corona crisis. As such, no results can be reported for 2020. In the first half of 2023, three PFA vessels have been active in the SPRFMO convention area, although they arrived later than in previous years.

The Jack mackerel fishery takes place from March through to November. Overall, the self-sampling activities for the jack mackerel fisheries during the years 2016-2023 (up to 12/07/2023) covered 50 fishing trips with 2112 hauls, a total catch of 140216 tonnes and 77105 individual length measurements. Compared to the previous years, jack mackerel in the catch in 2021-2023 have been taken much more northernly. Median length of 23.7 cm compared to $27-36 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the preceding years. The highest catch rates (catch/day) of Jack mackerel has been recorded in 2021 ( 222 ton/day) and is at 165 ton/day in 2022.

Bycatches of chub mackerel (MAS), Southern rays bream (BRU) and Blue fathead (UBA) are being taken in de fishery for Jack mackerel. During the years, reported here, 1699 hauls with Chub mackerel (MAS), 377 hauls with Southern rays bream (BRU) and 321 hauls with Blue fathead (UBA) have been analysed as part of the program.

In this 2023 self-sampling report, a standardized CPUE calculation has been included. The standardized CPUE is based on a GLM model with a negative binomial distribution. The response variable is the catch by week and vessel, with an offset of the log effort (number of fishing days per week) and explanatory variables year, GT category, month, division and depth category. An assumed technical efficiency increase of 2.5 \% per year has been included in the fitting of the model (Rousseau et al 2019)

A comparison of the self-sampling program and the EU observer program has been presented in a separate working document (SC11-JM01 Comparison and protocol for including EU self-sampling data).

## 1 Introduction

The Pelagic Freezer-trawler Association (PFA) is an association that has nine member companies that together operate 18 freezer trawlers (in 2022) in six European countries (www.pelagicfish.eu). In 2015, the PFA has initiated a self-sampling program that expands the ongoing monitoring programs on board of pelagic freezer-trawlers by the specialized crew of the vessels. The primary objective of that monitoring program is to assess the quality of fish. The expansion in the self-sampling program consists of recording of haul information, recording the species compositions per haul and regularly taking random length-samples from the catch. The self-sampling is carried out by the vessel quality managers on board of the vessels, who have a long experience in assessing the quality of fish, and by the skippers/officers with respect to the haul information. The scientific coordination of the selfsampling program is carried out by Niels Hintzen (PFA chief science officer) with support of Lina de Nijs and Floor Quirijns (contractor).

During the fisheries in the Pacific, the self-sampling program has carried out during all trips and all hauls.

The self-sampling program delivers information on spatial and temporal evolution of the fishery, species and length compositions and ambient fishing conditions (temperature and depth). Catch distributions and length compositions by quarter and division are presented for jack mackerel, chub mackerel and southers rays bream. New in 2019, is that age sampling for Jack mackerel has been included in the self-sampling program. Reports on age age sampling have been reported as ALK by quarter and as worked up age distributions by quarter. While most of the data presented in this report was already included in the 2019 submission to SPRFMO (SC7-JM07), the current report encapsulates the complete data for 2019 and some further analyses.

In the first half of 2023, three PFA vessels have been active in the SPRFMO convention area. In this report, data has been processed up to 12/07/2023 and only reflects activities from one vessel.

## 2 Overview of self-sampling methodology

The self-sampling program in the SPRFMO area has been implemented on vessels from the Netherlands, Germany, Lithuania and Poland during the years 2016-2023. All trips by all PFA vessels fishing in the south Pacific are being monitored by self-sampling, also when there is a scientific observer on board for a certain trip.

The self-sampling program is designed in such a way that it follows as closely as possible the working practices on board of the different vessels and that it delivers the information needed for the SPRFMO Science Committee. The following elements can be distinguished in the self-sampling protocol:

- haul information (date, time, position, weather conditions, environmental conditions, gear attributed, estimated catch, optionally: species composition)
- batch information (total catch per batch=production unit, including variables like species, average size, average weight, fat content, gonads $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ and stomach fill)
- linking batch and haul information (essentially a key of how much of a batch is caught in which of the hauls)
- length information (length frequency measurements, either by batch or by haul)

The self-sampling information is collected using either standardized Excel worksheets or purpose developed software (M-Catch). Each participating vessel will send in the information collected during a trip by the end of the trip. The data will be checked and added to the database by Floor Quirijns and/or Lina de Nijs, who will also generate standardized trip reports (using RMarkdown) which will be sent back to the vessel within one or two days. The compiled data for all vessels is being used for specific purposes, e.g. reporting to expert groups, addressing specific fishery or biological questions and supporting detailed biological studies. The PFA publishes an annual report on the self-sampling program.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 General

An overview of all the self-sampled trips for cjm, mas, bru, uba in 87.2.6, 87.3.3, 87.1.4. The percentage non-target species is defined as the catch of non-pelagic species relative to the catch of pelagic species.


Table 3.1.1: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling Summary of number of vessels, trips, days, hauls, catch (tonnes), catch per day and number of fish measured. * denotes incomplete year

Catch and number of self-sampled hauls by year and division

| division | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* | all | perc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87.2 .6 | 2,210 | 11,305 | 1,875 | 3,377 | 44,260 | 25,990 | 2,539 | 91,554 | 53.2\% |
| 87.3 .3 | 8,075 | 18,347 | 8,360 | 8,738 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 43,564 | 25.3\% |
| 87.1 .4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,820 | 0 | 36,820 | 21.4\% |
| (all) | 10,284 | 29,652 | 10,235 | 12,115 | 44,304 | 62,809 | 2,539 | 171,938 | 100.0\% |
| division | 2016 | 20172018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* | perc |  |  |
| 87.2 .6 | 62 | $322 \quad 33$ | 66 | 428 | 321 | 351 | , 267 | 55.1\% |  |
| 87.3 .3 | 105 | 287203 | 96 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 699 | 30.4\% |  |
| 87.1 .4 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 335 | 14.6\% |  |
| (all) | 167 | 609236 | 162 | 436 | 656 | 35 2, | 2,301 100 | 00.0\% |  |

Table 3.1.2: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling Summary of catch (top) and number of hauls (bottom) per year and division. * denotes incomplete year

Catch and number of self-sampled hauls by year and month

| month | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* | all | perc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 0 | 0 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 0.2\% |
| Feb | 0 | 0 | 521 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 521 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Mar | 0 | 4,406 | 748 | 915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,069 | 3.5\% |
| Apr | 1,618 | 6,674 | 2,644 | 3,820 | 1,153 | 4,321 | 0 | 20,229 | 11.8\% |
| May | 3,339 | 3,675 | 2,397 | 2,938 | 9,133 | 11,464 | 0 | 32,946 | 19.2\% |
| Jun | 2,855 | 3,311 | 1,780 | 786 | 7,772 | 10,331 | 391 | 27,225 | 15.8\% |
| Jul | 1,511 | 1,438 | 1,846 | 1,444 | 16,863 | 7,889 | 2,148 | 33,140 | 19.3\% |
| Aug | 377 | 1,889 | 0 | 2,211 | 5,351 | 9,234 | 0 | 19,062 | 11.1\% |
| Sep | 584 | 1,741 | 0 | 0 | 4,034 | 11,343 | 0 | 17,702 | 10.3\% |
| Oct | 0 | 3,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,067 | 0 | 10,398 | 6.0\% |
| Nov | 0 | 1,863 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,161 | 0 | 3,024 | 1.8\% |
| Dec | 0 | 1,323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,323 | 0.8\% |

month 201620172018201920212022 2023* all perc

| Jan | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | $0.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feb | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Mar | 0 | 48 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Apr | 19 | 83 | 55 | 34 | 21 | 69 | 0 | 281 | $12.2 \%$ |
| May | 41 | 74 | 41 | 30 | 93 | 139 | 0 | 418 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Jun | 43 | 71 | 31 | 21 | 106 | 108 | 5 | 385 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Jul | 32 | 51 | 32 | 34 | 137 | 74 | 30 | 390 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Aug | 17 | 52 | 0 | 36 | 47 | 81 | 0 | 233 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Sep | 15 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 111 | 0 | 195 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Oct | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 159 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Nov | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 63 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Dec | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | $2.0 \%$ |
| (all) | 167 | 609 | 236 | 162 | 436 | 656 | 35 | 2,301 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.1.3: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling summary of catch (top) and number of hauls (bottom) per year and month.

Catch and number of self-sampled hauls by year and country (flag)

| flag | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* | all | perc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEU | 10,284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,212 | 0 | 0 | 24,496 | 14.2\% |
| LIT | 0 | 16,020 | 10,235 | 0 | 4,415 | 32,944 | 0 | 63,614 | 37.0\% |
| NL | 0 | 13,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,632 | 7.9\% |
| POL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,115 | 25,677 | 29,865 | 2,539 | 70,196 | 40.8\% |
| (all) | 10,284 | 29,652 | 10,235 | 12,115 | 44,304 | 62,809 | 2,539 | 171,938 | 100.0\% |

flag 2016 20172018201920212022 2023* all perc

| DEU | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 344 | $15.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LIT | 0 | 429 | 236 | 0 | 39 | 371 | 0 | 1,075 | $46.7 \%$ |
| NL | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | $7.8 \%$ |
| POL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 220 | 285 | 35 | 702 | $30.5 \%$ |
| $($ all) | 167 | 609 | 236 | 162 | 436 | 656 | 35 | 2,301 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.1.4: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling summary of catch (top) and number of hauls (bottom) per year and month.

## Catch by species and year



Table 3.1.5: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling Summary of total catch (tonnes) by species. OTH refers to all other species that are not the main target species

## Haul positions

An overview of all self-sampled hauls in the PFA fisheries in the South Pacific.


Figure 3.1.1: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling haul positions. N indicates the number of hauls.

## Catches for the main target species

Summed catches (tonnes) of the main target species aggregated in rectangles.


Figure 3.1.2: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Self-sampling catch per species and per rectangle. $N$ indicates the number of hauls. Catch refers to the total catch per year.

## Catch rates (catch/day) for the main target species



Figure 3.1.3: Average catch per day, per species and per rectangle. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; avg refers to the average catch per day.

Average surface temperature by quarter and by rectangle.


Figure 3.1.4: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Average surface temperature (C) by year and quarter. $N$ indicates the number of hauls. Avg refers to the average temperature.

Average fishing depth.


Figure 3.1.5: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Average fishing depth (m) by year and quarter. N indicates the number of hauls. Avg refers to the average fishing depth.

## Average wind force.



Figure 3.1.6: PFA fisheries in the South Pacific Average windforce (Bft) by year and quarter. N indicates the number of hauls. Avg refers to the average windforce.

### 3.2 Jack mackerel (CJM, Trachurus murphyi)

Jack mackerel self-sampling summary.

| species | year | nvessels | ntrips | ndays | nhauls | catch | catch/day | nlength | nbio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| cjm | 2016 | 1 | 4 | 86 | 152 | 9,432 | 110 | 6,042 | 0 |
| cjm | 2017 | 2 | 10 | 263 | 549 | 27,645 | 105 | 19,631 | 0 |
| cjm | 2018 | 1 | 5 | 125 | 213 | 9,620 | 77 | 3,937 | 0 |
| cjm | 2019 | 1 | 3 | 83 | 152 | 11,788 | 142 | 6,032 | 410 |
| cjm | 2021 | 3 | 10 | 164 | 388 | 36,446 | 222 | 14,842 | 188 |
| cjm | 2022 | 2 | 17 | 263 | 624 | 43,385 | 165 | 25,727 | 84 |
| Cjm | 2023 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 34 | 1,900 | 136 | 894 | 0 |
| (all) | (all) |  | 50 | 998 | 2,112 | 140,216 |  | 77,105 | 682 |

Table 3.2.1: Jack mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the number of days, hauls, trips, vessels, catch (tonnes), catch rate (ton/day), number of fish measured, number of biological observations.

Jack mackerel. Catch by division

| cjm | 87.1 .4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,823 | 0 | 22,823 | 16.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjm | 87.2 .6 | 2,054 | 9,673 | 1,705 | 3,158 | 36,443 | 20,562 | 1,900 | 75,495 | 53.8\% |
| cjm | 87.3 .3 | 7,378 | 17,972 | 7,915 | 8,630 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 41,898 | 29.9\% |
| (all) | (all) | 9,432 | 27,645 | 9,620 | 11,788 | 36,446 | 43,385 | 1,900 | 140,216 | 100.0\% |

Table 3.2.2: Jack mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and division Jack mackerel. Catch by month

| species | month | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023* | all | perc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjm | Jan | 0 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 0.2\% |
| cjm | Feb | 0 | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | $0.3 \%$ |
| cjm | Mar | 0 | 4,301 | 625 | 902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,828 | 4.2\% |
| cjm | Apr | 1,534 | 6,526 | 2,554 | 3,786 | 11 | 3,222 | 0 | 17,632 | 12.6\% |
| cjm | May | 2,911 | 3,615 | 2,294 | 2,904 | 7,251 | 9,337 | 0 | 28,313 | 20.2\% |
| cjm | Jun | 2,674 | 3,256 | 1,743 | 756 | 6,312 | 8,154 | 285 | 23,180 | 16.5\% |
| cjm | Jul | 1,413 | 1,239 | 1,690 | 1,347 | 14,538 | 6,078 | 1,615 | 27,920 | 19.9\% |
| cjm | Aug | 347 | 1,588 | 0 | 2,093 | 4,613 | 5,724 | 0 | 14,366 | 10.2\% |
| cjm | Sep | 553 | 1,471 | 0 | 0 | 3,721 | 6,808 | 0 | 12,552 | 9.0\% |
| cjm | Oct | 0 | 2,731 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,434 | 0 | 6,165 | 4.4\% |
| cjm | Nov | 0 | 1,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 628 | 0 | 2,244 | 1.6\% |
| cjm | Dec | 0 | 1,302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,302 | 0.9\% |
| (all) | (all) | 9,432 | 27,645 | 9,620 | 11,788 | 36,446 | 43,385 | 1,900 | 140,216 | 100.0\% |

Table 3.2.3: Jack mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and month

Jack mackerel. Catch by rectangle


Figure 3.2.1: Jack mackerel. Catch per per rectangle. N indicates the number of hauls; Catch refers to the total catch per year.

Jack mackerel. Catchrate (ton/day) by rectangle


Figure 3.2.2: Jack mackerel. Catchrate (ton/day) per rectangle. N indicates the number of hauls; Avg refers to the average catchrate per rect.

Jack mackerel. Spatio-temporal evolution of catch by month and rectangle


Figure 3.2.3: Jack mackerel. Spatio-temporal evolution of the catches per rectangle and month. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; C refers to the total catch by year and month.

Jack mackerel. Catch proportion at depth


Figure 3.2.4: Jack mackerel. Catch proportion at depth. $N$ indicates the number of hauls.

Jack mackerel. Length distributions of the catch



Figure 3.2.5: Jack mackerel. Length distributions by year (top) and by year and division (bottom). Nobs refers to the number of observations; median denotes the median length.

Jack mackerel. Length distributions as proportions by (large) rectangle


Figure 3.2.6: Jack mackerel. Length distributions as proportions by large rectangle. Ind. refers to the number of length measurements

Jack mackerel. Average length, weight and fat content by year and month





|  | 2020 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Average weight (gram) by month















Figure 3.2.7: Jack mackerel. Average length, average weight, and average fat content. Nobs indicates the number of measurements, median indicates the median values

## Jack mackerel (CJM). Standardized CPUE

Standardized CPUE (ton/day) from GLM model with factors year, month, GT, division and depth with $\log$ (days) as offset. It is assumed that a $2.5 \%$ annual efficiency increase takes place (Rousseau et al 2019).

CPUE cjm


Figure 3.2.8: Jack mackerel. Standardized CPUE (ton/day) from GLM model with factors year, month, GT, division and depth with log(days) as offset

### 3.3 Chub mackerel (MAS, Scomber japonicus)

Chub mackerel self-sampling summary.

| species | year | nvessels | ntrips | ndays | nhauls | catch | catch/day | nlength | nbio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mas | 2016 | 1 | 4 | 67 | 116 | 673 | 10 | 562 | 0 |
| mas | 2017 | 2 | 10 | 220 | 390 | 1,841 | 8 | 1,014 | 0 |
| mas | 2018 | 1 | 5 | 67 | 101 | 117 | 2 | 109 | 0 |
| mas | 2019 | 1 | 3 | 47 | 72 | 123 | 3 | 78 | 0 |
| mas | 2021 | 3 | 9 | 162 | 372 | 5,939 | 37 | 2,875 | 152 |
| mas | 2022 | 2 | 17 | 261 | 614 | 19,309 | 74 | 7,184 | 12 |
| mas | 2023 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 34 | 628 | 45 | 249 | 0 |
| (all) | (all) |  | 49 | 838 | 1,699 | 28,631 |  | 12,071 | 164 |

Table 3.3.1: Chub mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the number of days, hauls, trips, vessels, catch (tonnes), catch rate (ton/day), number of fish measured, number of biological observations.

Chub mackerel. Catch by division

| species division | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | $2023 *$ | all | perc |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mas | 87.1 .4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,953 | 0 | 13,953 | $48.7 \%$ |
| mas | 87.2 .6 | 137 | 1,587 | 69 | 120 | 5,939 | 5,355 | 628 | 13,835 | $48.3 \%$ |
| mas | 87.3 .3 | 537 | 254 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 843 | $2.9 \%$ |
| (all) | (all) | 673 | 1,841 | 117 | 123 | 5,939 | 19,309 | 628 | 28,631 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.3.2: Chub mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and division Chub mackerel. Catch by month

| species | month | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | $2023 *$ | all | perc |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mas | Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| mas | Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| mas | Mar | 0 | 66 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | $0.2 \%$ |
| mas | Apr | 59 | 102 | 22 | 1 | 15 | 1,096 | 0 | 1,295 | $4.5 \%$ |
| mas | May | 317 | 36 | 24 | 1 | 1,107 | 2,097 | 0 | 3,581 | $12.5 \%$ |
| mas | Jun | 160 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 1,460 | 2,167 | 106 | 3,940 | $13.8 \%$ |
| mas | Jul | 83 | 192 | 68 | 45 | 2,315 | 1,766 | 522 | 4,990 | $17.4 \%$ |
| mas | Aug | 25 | 295 | 0 | 74 | 730 | 3,485 | 0 | 4,608 | $16.1 \%$ |
| mas | Sep | 29 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 4,531 | 0 | 5,142 | $18.0 \%$ |
| mas | Oct | 0 | 588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,633 | 0 | 4,221 | $14.7 \%$ |
| mas | Nov | 0 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 0 | 762 | $2.7 \%$ |
| mas | Dec | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | $0.1 \%$ |
| (all) | (all) | 673 | 1,841 | 117 | 123 | 5,939 | 19,309 | 628 | 28,631 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.3.3: Chub mackerel. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and month

Chub mackerel. Catch by rectangle


Figure 3.3.1: Chub mackerel. Catch per per rectangle. N indicates the number of hauls; Catch refers to the total catch per year.

Chub mackerel. Catchrate (ton/day) by rectangle


Figure 3.3.2: Chub mackerel. Catchrate (ton/day) per rectangle. N indicates the number of hauls; Avg refers to the average catchrate per rect.

Chub mackerel. Spatio-temporal evolution of catch by month and rectangle


Figure 3.3.3: Chub mackerel. Spatio-temporal evolution of the catches per rectangle and month. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; C refers to the total catch by year and month.

Chub mackerel. Catch proportion at depth


Figure 3.3.4: Chub mackerel. Catch proportion at depth. $N$ indicates the number of hauls.

Chub mackerel. Length distributions of the catch



Figure 3.3.5: Chub mackerel. Length distributions by year (top) and by year and division (bottom). Nobs refers to the number of observations; median denotes the median length.

Chub mackerel. Length distributions as proportions by (large) rectangle


Figure 3.3.6: Chub mackerel. Length distributions as proportions by large rectangle. Ind. refers to the number of length measurements

Chub mackerel. Average length, weight and fat content by year and month














Figure 3.3.7: Chub mackerel. Average length, average weight, and average fat content. Nobs indicates the number of measurements, median indicates the median values

## Chub mackerel (MAS). Standardized CPUE

Standardized CPUE (ton/day) from GLM model with factors year, month, GT, division and depth with $\log$ (days) as offset. It is assumed that a $2.5 \%$ annual efficiency increase takes place (Rousseau et al 2019).

CPUE mas


Figure 3.3.8: Chub mackerel. Standardized CPUE (ton/day) from GLM model with factors year, month, GT, division and depth with log(days) as offset

### 3.4 Southern rays bream (BRU, Brama australis)

Southern rays bream self-sampling summary.

| bru | 2016 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 22 | 24 | 1 | 25 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| bru | 2017 | 2 | 7 | 90 | 123 | 82 | 1 | 63 |
| bru | 2018 | 1 | 5 | 114 | 149 | 290 | 3 | 267 |
| bru | 2019 | 1 | 3 | 62 | 77 | 112 | 2 | 30 |
| bru | 2021 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 23 |
| (all) | (all) |  | 18 | 290 | 377 | 518 |  | 408 |

Table 3.4.1: Southern rays bream. Self-sampling summary with the number of days, hauls, trips, vessels, catch (tonnes), catch rate (ton/day), number of fish measured, number of biological observations.

Southern rays bream. Catch by division


Table 3.4.2: Southern rays bream. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and division Southern rays bream. Catch by month
species month 20162017201820192021 all perc

| bru | Jan | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | $3.7 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| bru | Feb | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | $9.2 \%$ |
| bru | Mar | 0 | 30 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 97 | $18.7 \%$ |
| bru | Apr | 0 | 25 | 29 | 30 | 10 | 94 | $18.2 \%$ |
| bru | May | 0 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 52 | $10.0 \%$ |
| bru | Jun | 8 | 6 | 35 | 19 | 0 | 69 | $13.3 \%$ |
| bru | Jul | 14 | 5 | 89 | 18 | 0 | 127 | $24.5 \%$ |
| bru | Aug | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | $2.4 \%$ |
| (all) | (all) | 24 | 82 | 290 | 112 | 10 | 518 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.4.3: Southern rays bream. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and month

## Southern rays bream. Catch by rectangle



Figure 3.4.1: Southern rays bream. Catch per per rectangle. N indicates the number of hauls; Catch refers to the total catch per year.

## Southern rays bream. Catchrate (ton/day) by rectangle



Figure 3.4.2: Southern rays bream. Catchrate (ton/day) per rectangle. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; Avg refers to the average catchrate per rect.

Southern rays bream. Spatio-temporal evolution of catch by month and rectangle


Figure 3.4.3: Southern rays bream. Spatio-temporal evolution of the catches per rectangle and month. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; C refers to the total catch by year and month.

Southern rays bream. Length distributions of the catch



Figure 3.4.4: Southern rays bream. Length distributions by year (top) and by year and division (bottom). Nobs refers to the number of observations; median denotes the median length.

Southern rays bream. Average length, weight and fat content by year and month


Figure 3.4.5: Southern rays bream. Average length, average weight, and average fat content. Nobs indicates the number of measurements, median indicates the median values

### 3.5 Blue fathead (UBA, Cubiceps caeruleus)

## Blue fathead self-sampling summary.

| uba | 2016 | 1 | 4 | 34 | 41 | 146 | 4 | 189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| uba | 2017 | 2 | 9 | 56 | 67 | 84 | 2 | 121 |
| uba | 2018 | 1 | 4 | 70 | 90 | 208 | 3 | 379 |
| uba | 2019 | 1 | 3 | 40 | 54 | 39 | 1 | 400 |
| uba | 2021 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 29 | 49 | 2 | 465 |
| uba | 2022 | 2 | 7 | 21 | 34 | 47 | 2 | 32 |
| uba | 2023 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| (all) | (all) |  | 33 | 249 | 321 | 574 |  | 1,586 |

Table 3.5.1: Blue fathead. Self-sampling summary with the number of days, hauls, trips, vessels, catch (tonnes), catch rate (ton/day), number of fish measured, number of biological observations.

## Blue fathead. Catch by division



Table 3.5.2: Blue fathead. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and division Blue fathead. Catch by month


| uba | Jan | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | $1.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| uba | Feb | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | $5.5 \%$ |
| uba | Mar | 0 | 9 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | $13.0 \%$ |
| uba | Apr | 19 | 21 | 39 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 111 | $19.3 \%$ |
| uba | May | 110 | 10 | 66 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 207 | $36.0 \%$ |
| uba | Jun | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | $3.6 \%$ |
| uba | Jul | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 31 | 1 | 47 | $8.1 \%$ |
| uba | Aug | 1 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 41 | $7.1 \%$ |
| uba | Sep | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |
| uba | Oct | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | $1.9 \%$ |
| uba | Nov | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | $3.1 \%$ |
| all) | (all) | 146 | 84 | 208 | 39 | 49 | 47 | 1 | 574 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.5.3: Blue fathead. Self-sampling summary with the catch (tonnes) by year and month

Blue fathead. Catch by rectangle


Figure 3.5.1: Blue fathead. Catch per per rectangle. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; Catch refers to the total catch per year.

Blue fathead. Catchrate (ton/day) by rectangle


Figure 3.5.2: Blue fathead. Catchrate (ton/day) per rectangle. $N$ indicates the number of hauls; Avg refers to the average catchrate per rect.

Blue fathead. Spatio-temporal evolution of catch by month and rectangle


Figure 3.5.3: Blue fathead. Spatio-temporal evolution of the catches per rectangle and month. N indicates the number of hauls; C refers to the total catch by year and month.

Blue fathead. Length distributions of the catch



Figure 3.5.4: Blue fathead. Length distributions by year (top) and by year and division (bottom). Nobs refers to the number of observations; median denotes the median length.

Blue fathead. Average length, weight and fat content by year and month


Average weight (gram) by month





fatcontent (\%) by month


Figure 3.5.5: Blue fathead. Average length, average weight, and average fat content. Nobs indicates the number of measurements, median indicates the median values

## 4 Discussion and conclusions

A description is presented of the fisheries carried out by vessels belonging to members of the Pelagic Freezer-trawler Association (PFA) within the SPRFMO area from 2016 to 2023. The Pelagic Freezertrawler Association (PFA) is an association that has nine member companies that together operate 18 (in 2022) freezer trawlers in six European countries (www.pelagicfish.eu). In 2015, the PFA has initiated a self-sampling program that expands the ongoing monitoring programs on board of pelagic freezer-trawlers aimed at assessing the quality of fish. The expansion in the self-sampling program consists of recording of haul information, recording the species compositions by haul and regularly taking length measurements from the catch. The self-sampling is carried out by the vessel quality managers on board of the vessels, who have a long experience in assessing the quality of fish, and by the skippers/officers with respect to the haul information. During the fisheries in the Pacific, the selfsampling program has been carried out during all trips and all hauls.

The self-sampling program delivers information on spatial and temporal evolution of the fishery, species and length compositions and ambient fishing conditions (temperature and depth). Catch distributions and length compositions by quarter and division are presented for jack mackerel (CJM), chub mackerel (MAS) and southern rays bream (BRU). No PFA fisheries was carried in the SPRFMO area in 2020, due to the global Corona crisis. As such, no results can be reported for 2020. In the first half of 2022, two PFA vessels have been active in the SPRFMO convention area.

The Jack mackerel fishery continues to yield high catch per day rates (CPUE) although it being somewhat lower than in 2020, the fishery still manages to have an increase in CPUE compared to the years 2016-2019. The fishery is taking place north of the Juan Fernandez islands as was not uncommon in previous years for the second part of the year. In 20221-2023 however, the fishery almost exclusively takes place in this area. This area is associated with smaller sized fish which is apparent from the size distribution as well. Where in 2021 the fishery was out in the high seas off the Chilean coast, in 2022 it shifted even further north.

Bycatches of chub mackerel (MAS), Southern rays bream (BRU) and Blue fathead (UBA) are being taken in de fishery for Jack mackerel. During the years, reported here, 1699 hauls with Chub mackerel (MAS), 377 hauls with Southern rays bream (BRU) and 321 hauls with Blue fathead (UBA) have been analysed as part of the program.

In this 2023 self-sampling report, a standardized CPUE calculation has been included which shows that chub mackerel catches have increased from lower levels in 2016-2019 to higher levels in 20212023. Likely, this increase is associated with the shift in fishing location further north. Median lengths of chub mackerel are rather stable at around 29.4 cm .

Southern rays bream were absent from the catch in 2022 and 2023, likely also associated with the change in fishing location.

A comparison of the self-sampling program and the EU observer program has been presented in a separate working document (SC11-JM01 Comparison and protocol for including EU self-sampling data).

## 5 Acknowledgements

The skippers, officers and the quality managers of many of the PFA vessels are putting in a lot of effort to make the PFA the self-sampling work. Without their efforts, there would be no self-sampling.

## 6 References and publications

Pastoors, M. A., A. T. M. Van Helmond, H. M. J. Van Overzee, I. Wojcek and S. Verver (2018). Comparison of PFA self-sampling with EU observer data, SPRFMO, SC6-JM04.

Pastoors, M. A. and F. J. Quirijns (2021). PFA self-sampling report 2015-2020, PFA. 2021/02.
Pastoors, M. A. and F. J. Quirijns (2022). PFA self-sampling report 2016-2021, PFA. 2022/02.[ This report]

Pastoors, M. A. (2020). Self-sampling Manual v 2.13, PFA. 2020/09.
Pastoors, M. A. and F. J. Quirijns (2021). PFA selfsampling report for North Sea herring fisheries, 20152020 (including 6a herring, sprat and pilchards), PFA. 2021_03.

Pastoors, M. A. (2021). PFA selfsampling report for WGDEEP 2021, PFA. 2021/04.
Pastoors, M. A. (2021). PFA selfsampling report for WGWIDE, 2015-2021, PFA. PFA report 2021_08.
Pastoors, M. A. (2021). PFA selfsampling report for the SPRFMO Science Committee 2021, PFA. PFA 2021_07 / SPRFMO SC9-JM06.

Pastoors, M. A. and I. Wojcek (2020). Comparison of PFA self-sampling with EU observer data, SPRFMO. SC8-JM03.

Quirijns, F. J. and M. A. Pastoors (2020). CPUE standardization for greater silversmelt in 5b6a. WKGSS 2020, WD03.

Rousseau, Y., R. A. Watson, J. L. Blanchard and E. A. Fulton (2019). "Evolution of global marine fishing fleets and the response of fished resources." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116(25): 12238-12243.

## 7 More information

Please contact Martin Pastoors (mpastoors@pelagicfish.eu) if you would have any questions on the PFA self-sampling program or the specific results presented here.

## 8 Appendix 1: CJM self-sampling overview

| cjm | 2022 | 2 | 20713 | 1465 | 293 | 15650 | 147807 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjm | 2022 | 3 | 18609 | 1772 | 254 | 8951 | 85556 |
| cjm | 2022 | 4 | 4061 | 374 | 72 | 1126 | 11928 |
| cjm | 2023 | 2 | 285 | 25 | 5 | 132 | 1072 |
| cjm | 2023 | 3 | 1614 | 143 | 27 | 762 | 6814 |

## 9 Appendix 2: CJM self-sampling length frequencies (counts and raised catch numbers at length)

species area year quarter length count catchnumber prop



| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 37 | 80 | 651 | 0.0076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 38 | 67 | 677 | 0.0079 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 39 | 65 | 684 | 0.0080 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 40 | 46 | 456 | 0.0053 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 41 | 40 | 293 | 0.0034 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 42 | 30 | 252 | 0.0030 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 43 | 36 | 274 | 0.0032 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 44 | 47 | 333 | 0.0039 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 45 | 45 | 349 | 0.0041 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 46 | 23 | 157 | 0.0018 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 47 | 19 | 121 | 0.0014 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 48 | 12 | 81 | 0.0009 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 49 | 11 | 107 | 0.0013 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 50 | 2 | 19 | 0.0002 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 51 | 2 | 24 | 0.0003 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 52 | 1 | 5 | 0.0001 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 22 | 2 | 22 | 0.0019 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 23 | 13 | 122 | 0.0103 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 24 | 63 | 624 | 0.0524 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 25 | 162 | 1628 | 0.1366 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 26 | 230 | 2273 | 0.1906 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 27 | 181 | 1992 | 0.1671 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 28 | 101 | 1031 | 0.0864 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 29 | 76 | 823 | 0.0691 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 30 | 44 | 464 | 0.0390 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 31 | 32 | 342 | 0.0287 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 32 | 15 | 205 | 0.0172 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 33 | 8 | 79 | 0.0066 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 34 | 17 | 217 | 0.0182 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 35 | 8 | 105 | 0.0088 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 36 | 10 | 91 | 0.0077 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 37 | 12 | 125 | 0.0105 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 38 | 19 | 216 | 0.0182 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 39 | 14 | 160 | 0.0135 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 40 | 23 | 271 | 0.0227 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 41 | 16 | 215 | 0.0180 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 42 | 13 | 111 | 0.0094 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 43 | 21 | 235 | 0.0198 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 44 | 18 | 225 | 0.0189 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 45 | 9 | 118 | 0.0100 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 46 | 10 | 108 | 0.0091 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 47 | 5 | 74 | 0.0062 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 48 | 3 | 20 | 0.0017 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 49 | 1 | 17 | 0.0015 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2022 | 4 | 54 | 0 | , | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 13 | 0 | O | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 14 | 0 | , | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 17 | 0 | , | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 14 | 0.0131 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 24 | 7 | 53 | 0.0497 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 25 | 32 | 248 | 0.2313 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 26 | 48 | 413 | 0.3855 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 27 | 30 | 240 | 0.2242 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 28 | 4 | 31 | 0.0298 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 29 | 3 | 27 | 0.0253 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 30 |  | 11 | 0.0103 |


| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 13 | 0.0128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 6 | 0.0064 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 6 | 0.0064 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 5 | 0.0053 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 2 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 18 | 2 | 28 | 0.0042 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 0.0004 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 21 | 5 | 21 | 0.0032 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 24 | 0.0036 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 23 | 23 | 241 | 0.0355 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 24 | 109 | 954 | 0.1401 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 25 | 305 | 2769 | 0.4064 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 26 | 166 | 1465 | 0.2150 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 27 | 66 | 513 | 0.0754 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 28 | 28 | 261 | 0.0383 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 29 | 23 | 251 | 0.0369 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 30 | 7 | 65 | 0.0097 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 31 | 8 | 60 | 0.0088 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 32 | 6 | 60 | 0.0089 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 33 | 2 | 29 | 0.0043 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 31 | 0.0047 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 35 | 1 | 12 | 0.0019 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 36 | 1 | 9 | 0.0013 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 9 | 0.0013 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| cjm | 87 | 2023 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 |

