

Amendments to CMM 3.03

(for the Establishment of a Compliance and Monitoring Scheme
in the SPRFMO Convention Area)

General comments:

- The reporting period that data is for should be clarified in the measure
- The paragraph of the CMM that the question relates to should be added and the Secretariat should double check all CMM paragraph references to ensure they are correct.
- The Secretariat should ensure that the most up to date CMMs are included in the Implementation report. To ensure this occurs, the CTC may wish to recommend that the Commission allows the Secretariat to update the Implementation Report template to reflect the requirements under new CMMs during the 20 days that the Secretariat is editing CMMs after the meeting.

Specific comments:

Page	Comment
1	'Calendar year' should be changed to 'Reporting Period' Bracket should be removed before "If so...."
2	Yes/No check boxes should be added under question asking if the Member/CNCP notified the vessel owners about consequences of inclusion in the IUU list.
3	No comments
4	Table 4 heading should be amended to: Main species caught Activities observed? (Yes/No)
5	Clarify that catch total for 'last year' refers to the year to date (i.e. the 2015/16 report completed in November 2016 will refer to the total catch for 2016 to date). Remove "Also" from paragraph 4 and refer to nautical miles. Use lower-case 's' in 'VMES'
6	In paragraph 2, refer to 'vessel's exemptions' as opposed to just vessels. Tables 6 and 7: Allow for text to be entered to list seabird mitigation measures (not a yes/no checkbox). Clarify period that observer coverage is required to be reported for (i.e. reporting period or calendar year?) Refer to number of seabird interactions as opposed to captures.
7	There are two questions in third paragraph that should have yes/no check boxes for each part. There should also be a text box underneath for members to explain reasons for not collecting vessel information. Table 8: Refer to Current number of vessels authorised to fish Clarify periods for number of new or revoked authorisations as past year could mean past 12 months, past reporting period, past calendar year.....? The footnote below the table should refer to Table 1 in regards to Jack Mackerel fisheries (i.e. does not currently apply to bottom fisheries)

8	<p>Remove 'have' from first paragraph under CMM 2.07.</p> <p>Table 9 should limit reporting of FFVs to those carrying SPRFMO species caught within the SPRFMO area, not all FFVs inspected by a port state.</p> <p>Second last paragraph should read 'Did any of your inspections discover evidence that a foreign fishing vessel has committed a breach of the SPRFMO CMMs?'</p> <p>Insert textbox to allow Members and CNCPs to describe reasons for any difficulties encountered with infringement procedures described in CMM 2.07.</p>
---	--